

## Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board Clean Water Act §319(h) Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2018 Workplan 18-08

	SU	JMMARY PAGE	
Title of Project	Coordinating Implement	tation of the Plum Creek Watershed Protection	on Plan
Project Goals	<ul> <li>To foster coordinated (PCWP)</li> <li>To conduct regular signathers with updates needed activities</li> <li>To support and facili water quality, develop management measure encourage adoption of Evaluate progress tool</li> <li>Coordinate and cond efforts across the water</li> </ul>	d assistance activities for the Plum Creek Wa takeholder meetings to encourage citizen par s on progress, and seek stakeholder input and tate the PCWP in identifying management m oping proposals to acquire funding for impler es, managing and tracking implementation p of BMPs ward achieving milestones established in the luct water resources and related environment	ttershed Partnership ticipation, provide d recommendations on neasures to improve nentation of rojects as well as WPP ntal outreach/education
Project Tasks	(1) Project Administration Outreach, Education and Website Renovation and	on; (2) Support and Facilitation of WPP Imp l Community Support; (4) Plum Creek Wate l Enhanced Social Media Platforms	lementation; (3)
Measures of Success	<ul> <li>Reduction in potentia agricultural and urba</li> </ul>	ward achieving milestones and publish an ad al bacterial contamination and nutrient loadin n nonpoint source pollution e of citizens, landowners and agricultural pro	ng for streams from
Project Type		ucation (X); Planning (); Assessment (); Gr	oundwater ()
Status of Waterbody on 2014 Texas Integrated Report	Segment ID 1810	Parameter of Impairment or Concern E. coli Dissolved Oxygen; Nitrate; Total Phosphorus; Habitat	Category 4b CS
	1810A	E. coli Dissolved Oxygen; Nitrate	CN CS
Project Location (Statewide or Watershed and County)	Plum Creek Watershed i	n Caldwell, Hays, and Travis Counties	
Key Project Activities	Education (X); Impleme	Water Quality Monitoring (); Technical Assi entation (); BMP Effectiveness Monitoring ( ning (); Modeling (); Bacterial Source Track	);
2012 Texas NPS	• Component One –L7	FG 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8	
Management Program Reference	-	TGs 2D, 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 3G	
Project Costs	Federal \$219,920	Non-Federal \$201,681 To	tal \$421,601
Project Management	Guadalupe-Blanco		
Project Period	October 1, 2018 – Decer		

# Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant									
Project Lead	Project Lead Elizabeth Edgerton								
Title		Water Qual	lity Program Su	pervisor					
Organization		Guadalupe	-Blanco River A	Authority					
E-mail Address		eedgerton@	gbra.org						
Street Address		933 E. Cou	rt St.						
City	Seguin		County	Guadalup	be	State	TX	Zip Code	78155
Telephone Numb	ber	(830)379-582	22		Fax	k Number	(830)372	2-2757	

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and
Board (TSSWCB)	ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ.
Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	Provide project management and oversight. Provide management of the
(GBRA)	Plum Creek Watershed Coordinator (PCWC), project reporting, provide assistance for stakeholder relations, support the development of final report. Provide coordination of ongoing implementation efforts. Assess water quality data collected through the Clean Rivers Program and monitoring projects in relation to achieving load reductions. Provide local match.
Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service	Provide training and assistance to the PCWC and PCWP.
Plum Creek Conservation District, Hays	Members of the PCWP; provide local match.
County, Caldwell County, City of Kyle,	
City of Buda, City of Lockhart, City of	
Luling, City of Uhland, Hays County Soil	
and Water Conservation District #351,	
Caldwell-Travis Soil and Water	
Conservation District #304, Polonia Water	
Supply	
TBD	Website redesign, website hosting and social media platform
	development.

#### **Part II – Project Information**

	_				_	_	_	_	_
Project Type									
• • • •									
Surface Water	Х	Groundwater							
Does the project in	Does the project implement recommendations made in (a) a completed WPP, (b) an adopted								
TMDL, (c) an app	roved I-	Plan, (d) a Con	prehensiv	e Conservation and Management Plan		Yes	X	No	
developed under C	CWA §3	20, (e) the <i>Texa</i>	Coastal	NPS Pollution Control Program, or (f)	the	105	Λ	140	
Texas Groundwate	er Prote	ction Strategy?							
If yes, identify the	docum	ent. Plum Ci	eek Water	shed Protection Plan					
If yes, identify the	agency	/group that	Plum	Creek Watershed Partnership	Year				
developed and/or approved the document. facilitated by Texas A&M AgriLife Deve				Developed 20		08			
			Exten	Extension and TSSWCB			20	00	

Watershed Information				
Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2014 IR	Size (Acres)
Plum Creek	110901050702,			
	110901050703,			
	111002030102,			
	111301050208,			
	111302090204,			
	120100040204,			
	120301010104,	1810	4b	288,240
	120500030306,			
	120601020401,			
	120702010804,			
	120702010805,			
	120800020403,			
	121002030401			

#### Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: 2014 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

2014 Integrated Report – Impaired due to bacteria with concerns for dissolved oxygen grab, dissolved oxygen 24hr average, total phosphorus, nitrate, and habitat.

Data collected from December 2005 through November 2012 (Segment 1810\_01 through 1810\_03 and 1810A\_01):

**Bacteria Geomean** – 1810\_01 (78 samples, 156.78 mean); 1810\_02 (45 samples, 200.13 mean); 1810\_03 (46 samples, 306.54 mean); 1810A\_01 (6 samples, 240.87 mean); **Dissolved Oxygen Grab** - 1810\_01 (78 samples, 14 exceed); 1810A\_01 (6 samples, 2 exceed); **Dissolved Oxygen 24hr Average** – 1810\_01 (10 samples, 2 exceed);

**Habitat**  $-1810_02$  (5 assessed, 4 exceed, mean assessed = 17.60); **Nitrate**  $-1810_01$  (78 samples, 25 exceed, mean exceed = 3.75);  $1810_02$  (54 samples, 52 exceed, mean exceed = 7.69);  $1810_03$  (78 samples, 68 exceed, mean exceed = 14.17);  $1810A_01$  (6 samples, 6 exceed, mean exceed = 10.5); **Total Phosphorus**  $-1810_01$  (79 samples, 33 exceed, mean exceed = 1.17);  $1810_02$  (54 samples, 40 exceed, mean exceed = 1.52);  $1810_03$  (80 samples, 68 exceed, mean exceed = 2.83)

\*Note – 1810\_03 Ammonia (61 samples, 13 exceed, mean exceed = 2.93) Plum Creek Segments 1810\_01 through 1810\_3 were moved to Category 4b with rationale based on WPP.

Clean Rivers Program 2013 Basin Summary Report and Subsequent CRP Monitoring - The 2013 Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary Report for the Guadalupe River Basin states that a review of the historical data from the Plum Creek at Plum Creek Road site (monitoring location for uppermost segment, Plum Creek 1810\_03) shows trends of diminishing water quality. The most prominent water quality concerns are for nutrient and bacteria concentrations. The increased nutrient levels in the creek are due in large part because the stream is effluent-dominated. Additional wastewater effluent and nutrient loading has been added to the creek in recent years as the Kyle and Buda WWTPs have increased in capacity. The water quality data shows an increasing trend in total phosphorus concentrations over time. Nitrate nitrogen also shows an increasing trend over time. Spikes in nitrate concentrations appear to be linked to low flow periods when the stream is effluent-dominated. Total phosphorus and nitrate nitrogen are of concern because of the potential for promoting nuisance algal blooms that can deplete oxygen in the stream, especially in the early morning hours, degrading the habitat for fish and aquatic invertebrates. Ammonia nitrogen exceeded the screening concentration 21.3% of the time in 1810\_03, but of more concern was the magnitude of the exceedances. Three of the 13 sampling events that exceeded the 0.33 mg/L screening concentration for ammonia nitrogen were greater than 10 mg/L. Ammonia nitrogen is a concern because of its toxicity to fish. Because of the effluent dominance of the stream, the most logical source of these nutrients is wastewater discharge but other sources of nutrients should be considered such as runoff carrying fertilizers from agricultural fields, lawns and organic wastes from animals such as livestock, pets and wildlife.

The median concentration for nitrate nitrogen exceeded the stream screening criteria of 1.95 mg/L 52 out of 54 measurements at the monitoring site on Plum Creek at CR202 (middle assessment unit). Initial data from a joint GBRA and USGS study to determine possible nitrate contributions from springs that originate from the underlying Leona formation do not appear to support previous considerations that the springs are a significant contributor to nitrates in Plum Creek. Likely sources of nitrates and total phosphorus concentrations in this segment include wastewater effluent, stormwater that carries in fertilizers and organic material and failing septic tanks. It should be noted that impaired habitat was added as a concern to this segment in the 2012 Integrated Report.

Plum Creek's downstream assessment unit, Segment 1810\_01, is monitored south of Luling, TX at CR 135. While 1810\_01 is listed for a bacteria impairment and concerns for nitrates and total phosphorus, this segment of Plum Creek does maintain higher water quality for most recorded parameters with the exception of dissolved oxygen. The CR 135 monitoring location includes a larger proportion of runoff from the most rural portions of the watershed and serves as the most accurate indicator of overall Plum Creek water quality prior to its confluence with the San Marcos River. While not demonstrated in the data reported in the 2014 Integrated Report, at least two high volume discharges of untreated poultry waste have contributed to water quality concerns in this segment in 2015 and 2016.

#### **Project Narrative**

#### Problem/Need Statement

Plum Creek rises in Hays County north of Kyle, TX and runs south through Caldwell County, passing Lockhart and Luling, eventually joining the San Marcos River at their confluence north of Gonzales County. Plum Creek is 52 miles in length and has a drainage area of 389 mi<sup>2</sup>. According to the 2014 Texas Integrated Report, Plum Creek is impaired by elevated bacteria concentrations (Category 4b) and exhibits concerns for depressed DO, habitat, nitrate and total phosphorus.

The TSSWCB and Texas A&M AgriLife Extension established the Plum Creek Watershed Partnership (PCWP) in April 2006. The PCWP Steering Committee completed the Plum Creek WPP in February 2008. Information about the PCWP, including the WPP and implementation activities, is available at <u>http://plumcreek.tamu.edu/</u>. Sources of pollutants identified in the Plum Creek WPP include urban stormwater runoff, pet waste, failing or inadequate on-site sewage facilities (septic systems), wastewater treatment facilities, livestock, wildlife, invasive species (feral hogs), and oil and gas production.

The WPP identified responsible parties, implementation milestones and estimated financial costs for individual management measures and outreach and education activities. The plan also described the load reductions expected from the full implementation of all management measures. Since the plan's acceptance by the PCWP, TSSWCB, and EPA, key management measures have been implemented or are in the process of being implemented. Those measures that focus on control of urban nonpoint source pollution, and funded by TCEO CWA Section 319(h) nonpoint source grants include: 1) adoption of pet waste ordinances and installation of pet waste stations by the cities of Kyle, Lockhart and Luling; 2) urban storm water assessments in Kyle and Lockhart that map current storm water flows and conveyance systems, and identify needs and determine optimal placement of additional storm water controls; 3) funding to retrofit two existing storm water detention basins in the City of Kyle that receive runoff from a significant portion of the city; 4) funding to conduct an illicit discharge survey and install filters on storm drain inlets in the City of Lockhart; 5) street sweeping programs in the cities of Buda, Kyle and Lockhart; 6) resources directed by cities to manage waterfowl populations in city parks and other locations; 7) a low-impact development (LID) implementation and education project by Caldwell County to retrofit the County's Justice Center with green infrastructure including raingardens, permeable pavers, rainwater harvesting and xeriscape; 8) a LID implementation and education project by the City of Kyle to incorporate green infrastructure and education in the construction of a new administration building for the City's expanded WWTF; and 9) an urban riparian restoration, LID and education project by the City of Lockhart to evaluate riparian hindrances, adopt BMPs including green infrastructure and develop educational signage and materials along the Town Branch Urban Trail which winds through the City's park system.

Measures that have been implemented or are in the process of being implemented that focus on agricultural nonpoint source pollution include: 1) an SWCD Technician located in the watershed that provides technical assistance to agricultural producers for the development and implementation of Water Quality Management Plans (WQMPs) that focus on reducing bacteria loading from livestock operations in targeted areas across the watershed; 2) financial incentives to agricultural producers for implementing best management practices prescribed in the WQMPs which will achieve bacteria load reductions; and, 3) allocation of the Environmental Quality Incentives Program by the USDA- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). Funding for the development and implementation of WQMPs (1 and 2 above) has been provided through TSSWCB projects 08-07, 08-10, and 16-07. To date, a total of 29 WQMPs have been developed on approximately 3,652 acres. It was estimated that a total of 235 management plans on livestock operations and 24 management plans on cropland operations would need to be implemented to achieve estimated bacteria and nutrient load reductions called for in the Plum Creek WPP. As such, there continues to exist a significant need for technical and financial assistance to implement BMPs through WQMPs and other programs including but not limited to the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) and Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) in order to achieve the goals identified in the Plum Creek WPP to restore water quality.

Management measures to reduce impacts from invasive species that have been implemented in the watershed include: 1) hiring of an Extension Assistant to conduct one-on-one and group landowner outreach on feral hog management techniques; 2) aerial control and a landowner cooperative trapping program for the removal of feral hogs from the watershed (funded by Texas Department of Agriculture County Hog Abatement Matching Program (CHAMP) grant, with additional funding coming from local participation); and 3) an on-line feral hog activity reporting system to support identification of target areas for implementation of control activities. Funding for feral hog management education (1 and 3 above) has been provided through TSSWCB project 08-07, *Implementing Agricultural Nonpoint Source Components of the Plum Creek Watershed Protection Plan* and TSSWCB project 12-06, *Statewide Delivery of Lone Star Healthy Streams Feral Hog Component and Providing Technical Assistance on Feral Hog Management in Priority Watersheds.* 

In 2012, Caldwell County and Hays County each participated in the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) Hog Out County Grants program with Caldwell County being awarded a grant in 2013 to continue abatement efforts for feral hogs. Additionally, the Caldwell County Feral Hog Task Force (CCFHTF) was established in 2013 and developed a 5-year Feral Hog Action Plan for Caldwell and Hays County. These counties, through a joint agreement, were also awarded the first ever TDA CHAMP grant for further education and abatement programs for feral hogs. The CCFHTF has continued to receive local funds and TDA grant funds in each 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017 to continue implementation of the Feral Hog Action Plan in Caldwell County. Efforts of the CCFHTF since 2013 have led to the documented removal of over 12,000 feral hogs from Caldwell County.

Additionally, measures that focus on pollution impacts from wastewater that have been implemented include: 1) voluntary bacteria and nutrient monitoring of effluent by most wastewater treatment facilities in the watershed; 2) replacement of old and degraded sewer pipes and other components of the wastewater collection systems in the Cities of Kyle, Lockhart, Luling and Buda; 3) voluntary adoption of Plum Creek WPP recommended permit limits (5-5-2-1 discharge) by the City of Buda WWTF and Crosswinds WWTF; and 4) current wastewater reuse by the City of Buda and plans underway by the City of Kyle to utilize WWTF effluent reuse for several projects within the City.

In 2013, the City of Buda was awarded funding through the TWDB Clean Water State Revolving Fund to begin planning and design for the decommissioning of failing septic systems and connection of existing homes in the Hillside Terrace subdivision to an existing wastewater treatment facility. Due to the disadvantaged economic status of the subdivision homeowners, the project qualified for 70% loan forgiveness with the remaining portion covered by a joint agreement between the City of Buda and Hays County.

Water quality monitoring is being conducted by GBRA at three sites on Plum Creek through resources dedicated by TCEQ through the Clean Rivers Program. Through TSSWCB project 17-58, *Surface Water Quality Monitoring and Additional Data Collection Activities to Support the Implementation of the Plum Creek Watershed Protection Plan*, GBRA is conducting intensive targeted monitoring on tributaries, springs, wastewater effluent, urban storm water runoff, and other main stem instream sites.

In an effort to support current monitoring through the Clean Rivers Program, provide additional insight into current bacteria sources throughout the Plum Creek watershed and strengthen future BMPs for addressing the bacteria impairment, TSSWCB project 16-61, *Bacterial Source Tracking to Support the Implementation of the Plum Creek Watershed Protection Plan*, provided for one year of monthly BST sampling at five sites within the Plum Creek watershed. The project is a joint effort of the TSSWCB, Texas A&M University, GBRA, the City of Kyle and PCWP. Additional BST sampling was also included for Town Branch and funded locally by the City of Lockhart. The results of these studies will be analyzed in the coming months in preparation for a new targeted approach to reduce bacteria loading in the Plum Creek watershed.

In addition to being measures used to engage stakeholders and support the development of the WPP, education and outreach programs have been identified by the WPP as critical to the successful implementation and effectiveness of management measures for the reduction of nonpoint source pollution. Activities that have been conducted include 1) household hazardous waste collection events, solid waste community collection events and dozens of stream and illicit dumping site clean ups; and 2) training events that include Texas Watershed Steward Program, Nonpoint Education for

Municipal Officials, Sports and Athletic Field Education, on-site sewage system operation and maintenance, Feral Hog Management workshops, Low Impact Development workshop, Riparian Ecosystem workshops and Small Acreage Stewardship workshops among others. TCEQ funded the development of on-line educational modules for information transfer to owners of septic systems, city employees and homeowners, covering operation and maintenance of on-site sewage systems, best practices for urban storm water management at city facilities, and correct disposal of fats, oils and greases, respectively.

Early, local involvement in the development of the WPP was crucial for the successful implementation of the plan. Now that the plan is completed, maintaining a connection with stakeholders and expanding participation will increase the likelihood of success and water quality improvement. To support the different aspects of WPP implementation, obtaining funding, conducting public outreach and increasing participation is still needed.

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension served as the watershed coordinator through the development and implementation of the WPP years 1-3. Texas A&M AgriLife Extension secured funding for implementation measures through grants, has tracked the progress of implementation, and has evaluated and reported water quality trends resulting in the implementation of management measures. As funding for Texas A&M AgriLife Extension ended, it was the desire of the PCWP to continue progress on implementing the Plum Creek WPP by establishing a local watershed coordinator. The WPP states, "In addition to technical and financial assistance required for implementation of management measures and outreach programs, it is recommended that a full-time [Watershed] Coordinator be employed to facilitate continued progress [throughout the 10-year implementation schedule]. This position will oversee project activities, seek additional funding, organize and coordinate regular updates for the Plum Creek Watershed Partnership, maintain the website, and coordinate outreach and education efforts in the watershed."


TSSWCB projects 11-07 and 14-10, *Coordinating Implementation of the Plum Creek Watershed Protection Plan*, provided funding for a watershed coordinator and the continuation of outreach and education efforts in the Plum Creek watershed. The local watershed coordinator has worked with stakeholders, local governments and organizations, state and federal agencies to acquire funding and develop partnerships toward the full implementation of the Plum Creek WPP. Public participation at quarterly meetings and community projects has increased and new projects have been conceptualized and developed. Having a watershed coordinator employed and officed in the watershed has provided numerous opportunities for engagement with communities and individuals, allowed for rapid response to fish kills and illicit discharges, as well as an enhanced presence and awareness of the PCWP. The watershed coordinator's efforts to: acquire funding and develop partnerships for the continuation of the Hillside Terrace Project; facilitate new approaches to feral hog management, and engage new and existing developers has led to a tremendous media presence in the watershed, bringing awareness of the PCWP and watershed protection planning process to a large cross-section of the public.

The continuation of this project is a critical component of the Plum Creek WPP and will serve as an example to other watershed groups seeking to learn from the PCWP's experiences, setbacks and successes. The Plum Creek WPP serves as a guide for new and existing WPPs in both the planning and implementation phases. The hiring of a local watershed coordinator is an example of the vision and dedication of the stakeholders in the watershed in the WPP implementation process. The Interlocal Agreement entered into by 12 entities within the Plum Creek watershed in 2011 was renewed in 2014 and 2017. The Plum Creek Interlocal Agreement is a testament to the commitment of local stakeholders to this process and to the value that they see in funding a local watershed coordinator.

#### **Project Narrative**

#### General Project Description (Include Project Location Map)

Through a local presence in watershed, the Plum Creek Watershed Coordinator (PCWC) will serve as the primary conduit for interaction with landowners, citizens, and entities to facilitate the implementation of the WPP. The PCWC will coordinate meetings with the PCWP Steering Committee and Work Groups to update them, seek their input and



recommendations on needed activities, and continue to support and facilitate implementation efforts of the plan. The PCWC will continue to assist the cities, counties, local boards and businesses to identify management measures to improve water quality and acquire resources to enable WPP implementation. The PCWC will work with state and federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and financial assistance to the watershed.

As part of an adaptive management approach embraced by stakeholders, the PCWC will continue to evaluate progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP, assess water quality data in relation to achieving load reductions, and publish a biennial addendum to the Plum Creek WPP that describes updates to goals and milestones and successes.

Coordination of outreach and education efforts

by the PCWC will facilitate and support public participation by private individuals and local officials in the implementation of the Plum Creek WPP. The PCWC will develop reports, publications, website and social media content, to promote and communicate watershed pollution prevention efforts. Additionally, the PCWC will coordinate and conduct water resources and educational outreach education efforts across the watershed, organizing the following programs: riparian education workshops, OSSF maintenance workshop for homeowners; and aerobic system operation and maintenance workshops for homeowners. The PCWC will also continue to organize and support other outreach and educational opportunities supported by Texas A&M AgriLife Extension, TSSWCB, TCEQ and local community programs throughout the watershed including Feral Hog Management Workshops, Low Impact Development Workshops, Healthy Lawns and Healthy Waters program, Texas Well Owner Network Trainings, Texas Watershed Steward Trainings and others.

The PCWC will continue to work with local governments to address littering, illegal dumping and other hazardous and non-hazardous waste issues through community collection events, illicit dumping site cleanups, and coordination of the Annual Keep Lockhart Beautiful Cleanup and Environmental Fair. In 2014, the City of Lockhart was awarded the distinguished Governor's Community Achievement Award in large part to this annual event and the City's active participation in Plum Creek WPP efforts.

The PCWC and GBRA will further support community outreach and education efforts in the WPP implementation process through two new components to the WPP process. The goal is to renovate the website and enhance the social media platform for the Plum Creek Watershed Partnership. The PCWP serves as a sterling representative for watershed protection across the state and must continue to innovate. The current website utilizes outdated editing software and lacks multimedia platforms for interaction with a stakeholder base trending to more engaging online experiences. The website and WPP implementation activities will be complemented by a dynamic social media presence.

With an enhanced presence in the community and increasing industrial, citizen and stakeholder involvement, the water quality goals established in the Plum Creek WPP can be realized. The local PCWC has made many strides in these areas, however, continued funding is needed to ensure that project goals are achieved.

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedul	es								
Task 1	Project Administ	Project Administration								
Costs	Federal         \$19,992         Non-Federal         \$7,213         Total         \$27,205									
Objective	To effectively ad technical and fina				l work performed of status reports.	under this	s project	including		
Subtask 1.1	(QPRs) for subm	The Plum Creek Watershed Coordinator (PCWC) will prepare electronic quarterly progress reports (QPRs) for submission to the TSSWCB. QPRs shall document all activities performed within a quarter and shall be submitted by the 1 <sup>st</sup> of January, April, July and October. QPRs shall be distributed to all Project Partners								
	Start Date			Month 1	Completion I	Date	]	Month 51		
Subtask 1.2	GBRA will performs to TSSWC		t quarter	·ly.	t funds and will su	bmit appr	opriate	Reimbursement		
	Start Date			Month 1	Completion I	Date	]	Month 51		
Subtask 1.3	discuss project ad	ctivities, p lop lists o	roject so f action	chedule, commun	e calls, at least qua ication needs, deli- owing each projec	verables, a	and othe	r requirements.		
	Start Date Month 1 Completion Date Month 51						Month 51			
Subtask 1.4		t. The rep	oort will	•	rizes activities con extent to which pro	•				
	Start Date			Month 1	Completion I	Date	]	Month 51		
Deliverables	<ul><li>Reimbursen</li><li>Lists of action</li></ul>	<ul> <li>QPRs in electronic format</li> <li>Reimbursement Forms and necessary documentation in hard copy format</li> <li>Lists of action items from project coordination meetings</li> </ul>								

Tasks, Objec	ctives and Schedules							
Task 2	Support and Facilitation of WPP Implementation							
Costs	Federal         \$94,964         Non-Federal         \$75,660         Total         \$170,624							
Objective	Facilitate continued stakeholder involvement in the PCWP to ensure successful implementation of the							
5	Plum Creek WPP and track implementation.							
Subtask 2.1	GBRA, in coordination with the PCWP, will oversee the PCWC to engage and facilitate the PCWP and							
	entities identified in the Plum Creek WPP. The PCWC will serve as the primary conduit for interaction							
	with landowners, citizens, and entities to facilitate the implementation of the WPP. The PCWC sha							
	participate in Texas Watershed Coordinator Roundtables and the TSSWCB Southeast and South Centr							
	Texas Regional Watershed Coordination Steering Committee meetings. The PCWC will attend the Watershed Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge The PCWC will exclusive to be stationed in the Planning Shortesurge to be stationed in the Planning Shortes							
	Watershed Planning Shortcourse. The PCWC will continue to be stationed in the Plum Creek watershe							
Such to all 2.2	Start Date         Month 1         Completion Date         Month 51							
Subtask 2.2	The PCWC will assist governmental and non-governmental organizations in the Plum Creek watershe in identification and acquisition of resources (financial and technical) to enable WPP implementation. The							
	PCWC will actively seek and pursue funding opportunities and work with partners to develop gra							
	proposals. The PCWC will work with state and federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and							
	financial resources to the watershed.							
	Start Date         Month 1         Completion Date         Month 51							
Subtask 2.3	The PCWC will 1) evaluate and track progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP;							
	assess water quality data collected through the Clean Rivers Program and other data collection efforts							
	relation to achieving load reductions; and, 3) publish, and distribute to stakeholders a biennial addendu							
	to the Plum Creek WPP that describes modifications/updates to goals and milestones, documents success							
	in achieving goals and milestones, and success in achieving water quality improvement and load							
	reductions (publishing target in spring 2019). The WC will work with TSSWCB and TCEQ to periodical							
	provide information to EPA to support the Rationale for Reclassifying Plum Creek (Segment 1810) fro							
	Category 5 to Category 4b on the 2010 Texas Integrated Report and as modified in subsequent Integrate Reports.							
	Start Date         Month 1         Completion Date         Month 51							
Subtask 2.4	GBRA and PCWC will facilitate public participation and stakeholder involvement in the watersho							
	planning process, specifically by hosting meetings of the PCWP Steering Committee (quarterly) and Work							
	Groups (as needed) to provide regular updates on progress to implement the WPP and seek input and recommendations on needed activities. The PCWC will coordinate meetings, secure meeting locations,							
	prepare and disseminate meeting notices and agendas. Meeting summaries will be prepared and posted							
	the project website.							
	Start Date         Month 1         Completion Date         Month 51							
Subtask 2.5	GBRA and PCWC will maintain a database of watershed stakeholders and affected parties for use							
2.0	engaging the public in the watershed planning process. The stakeholder group will be added to, based							
	upon previous efforts of TSSWCB projects 04-17, 08-07, 11-07 and 14-10.							
	Start Date         Month 1         Completion Date         Month 51							
Subtask 2.6	GBRA and PCWC will attend and participate in other public meetings as appropriate in order							
	communicate project goals, activities and accomplishments to affected parties. Such meetings may							
	communeate project goals, activities and accompnishments to arrected parties. Such meetings ma							
	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas							
	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas Steering Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs							
	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas Steering Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs groundwater conservation districts and other appropriate meetings of critical watershed stakehold							
	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas Steering Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs groundwater conservation districts and other appropriate meetings of critical watershed stakehold groups.							
	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas Steering Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs groundwater conservation districts and other appropriate meetings of critical watershed stakehold groups.Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 51							
Deliverables	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas Steering Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs groundwater conservation districts and other appropriate meetings of critical watershed stakehold groups.Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 51• Notices, agendas, meeting materials, attendance lists, and summaries from PCWP meetings							
Deliverables	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas Steering Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs groundwater conservation districts and other appropriate meetings of critical watershed stakehold groups.Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 51• Notices, agendas, meeting materials, attendance lists, and summaries from PCWP meetings • Documentation of resource opportunities identified, applied for and resources obtained to support							
Deliverables	include, but are not limited to, city councils, county commissioners' courts, Clean Rivers Program Bas Steering Committee and Coordinated Monitoring, local soil and water conservation districts (SWCDs groundwater conservation districts and other appropriate meetings of critical watershed stakehold groups.Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 51• Notices, agendas, meeting materials, attendance lists, and summaries from PCWP meetings							

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules
Task 2	Support and Facilitation of WPP Implementation
	Stakeholder contact list, updated as needed

Tasks, Objec	ctives and Schedules								
Task 3	Outreach, Education and Community Support								
Costs	Federal         \$94,964         Non-Federal         \$115,213         Total         \$210,177								
Objective	To promote involvement, provide information tran Watershed Partnership.	sfer and encourag	e participation in the Plum Creek						
Subtask 3.1	<ul> <li>The PCWC will coordinate and conduct water resources and related environmental outreach/educate efforts across the watershed, as identified in the Plum Creek WPP. The PCWC will work vero collaborating entities to organize the following training programs: <ul> <li>Riparian education workshops – 1 event</li> <li>Conventional OSSF maintenance workshop for homeowners – 1 event</li> <li>Aerobic system operation and maintenance workshops for homeowners – 3 events</li> </ul> </li> <li>The PCWC will look into the feasibility of conducting the following water resources and relatenvironmental outreach/education events: Local community cleanups, Texas Watershed Stew Program, Sports and Athletic Field Education, rainwater harvesting workshops, Texas Well Ow</li> </ul>								
	Network trainings, Healthy Lawns and Healthy W Team volunteer monitoring trainings, and Lone S PCWC will work with the entities that administer/f programs to Plum Creek depending on priorities of	aters trainings, we ar Healthy Stream and these programs those entities and p	Il screening events, Texas Stream a (grazing cattle component). The s and try to direct delivery of these programs.						
	The PCWC will make presentations on the PC information to local schools and community organic. The PCWC will work with Extension (County A targeting fertilizer users (agricultural and/or urban)	ations. gents) to coordina	ate annual soil testing campaigns						
	GBRA and PCWC will support, promote, and demonstrations, site tours, or education events spo Plum Creek watershed.								
	Start Date Month 1	Completion I	Date Month 51						
Subtask 3.2	GBRA and PCWC will work with a contractor (T <u>http://plumcreek.tamu.edu</u> ) and social media platfor and watershed-related information. All presentation The website and social media platforms will serve and the general public.	m(s) to serve as a p s, documents and re as means to dissen	bublic clearinghouse for all project- esults will be posted to the website. ninate information to stakeholders						
	Start Date Month 1	Completion I							
Subtask 3.3	GBRA and PCWC will facilitate communication affected entities in the watershed planning proce communication mechanisms including direct mail, radio, television). GBRA and PCWC will deve materials, including, but not limited to, flyers, bro appropriate promotional publications. GBRA will newsletters (e.g., <i>River Run</i> ) and Clean Rivers Progr utilize a listserv (e.g., <u>http://listserv.tamu.edu/</u> ) to face	e-mail, the project op and dissemination chures, letters, fact l include information am publications. G	CWC will utilize all appropriate et website, and mass media (print, ate general project informational et sheets, news releases, and other tion about the project in GBRA BRA and PCWC may develop and						

	and PCWC will make appropriate use of social media (i.e., Facebook, Twitter) as a stakeholder communication mechanism for this watershed. The PCWC will develop, publish, and distribute newsletters (i.e., <i>Plum Creek Current</i> ) that highlight Plum Creek watershed activities; the newsletter shall be distributed as most appropriate to individual landowners and entities in the watershed. GBRA and PCWC will solicit content matter for educational materials from Project Partners as appropriate. TSSWCB must approve all project-related content in any informational materials and promotional publications prior to distribution.								
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 51					
Subtask 3.4	Beautiful Cleanup and En volunteers, coordinate pre	vironmental Fair. GBRA a sentations/activities for the cleanup activities will take	art to coordinate the annua and PCWC will develop pro- e Environmental Fair and g place in Lockhart parks an	ojects, organize enerate local sponsors to					
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 51					
Deliverables	<ul> <li>Maintain project web</li> <li>Educational and pron</li> <li>Newsletters</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Documentation of workshops including handouts, agendas and attendance rosters</li> <li>Maintain project website</li> <li>Educational and promotional materials, as developed and disseminated</li> </ul>							

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules									
Task 4	Plum Creek Watershed Partnership Website Renovation and Enhanced Social Media Platform(s)									
Costs	Federal \$10,000	Non-Federal	\$3,595	Total	\$13,595					
Objective	Enhance the online preser	Enhance the online presence of the Plum Creek Watershed Partnership to provide more opportunities for								
	stakeholder interaction, ea	asier access to online resou	arces, and improved cor	nmunication	n related to Plum					
	Creek WPP implementation	on.								
Subtask 4.1		evelop a request for propos								
		ebsite and develop a social	al media strategy to imp	prove public	e participation in					
	Plum Creek WPP implem	entation.								
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date		Month 6					
Subtask 4.2		n GBRA and PCWC to dev								
	*	train GBRA and PCWC or	n editing and managing r	new online p	presence for Plum					
	Creek Watershed Partners	•								
	Start Date	Month 7	Completion Date		Month 12					
Subtask 4.3		sume management of new v	website and social media	a platform fo	or the Plum Creek					
	Watershed Partnership.									
	Start Date	Month 13	Completion Date		Month 51					
Deliverables	Request for proposal	S								
	Hire contractor									
	New Plum Creek Wa	tershed Partnership Websi	te							
	Supporting Social M	edia Platform(s)								

**Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)** 

- Facilitate and continue implementation of the Plum Creek WPP and foster coordinated assistance activities between the Cities, Counties, GBRA, PCCD, TSSWCB, local SWCDs, NRCS, and members of the PCWP by providing a local presence in the Plum Creek Watershed.
- Conduct PCWP Steering Committee meetings and Work Group meetings to provide updates on progress, seek stakeholder input and recommendations on needed activities, and encourage citizen participation.
- Support and facilitate the PCWP in identifying management measures to improve water quality, developing proposals to acquire funding for implementation of management measures, managing and tracking implementation projects as well as facilitating education programs in order to encourage adoption of BMPs.
- Work with state and federal agencies, as appropriate, to bring technical and financial resources to the Plum Creek watershed.
- Track and document implementation efforts to assess progress toward achieving milestones established in the WPP.
- Coordinate and conduct water resources and related environmental outreach/education efforts across the watershed, by developing publications, website content to promote and communicate watershed efforts, organizing training programs, and participation/coordination of local community clean up events.
- Enhanced online presence of the PCWP through the development of a new website and supporting social media platform(s). This renewed focus on improved online functionality, better content and enhanced networking capability will serve to engage more stakeholders in the WPP implementation process.

### Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

- Provide technical assistance to the PCWP through identification and acquisition of resources, seek and pursue funding opportunities, and develop grant proposals.
- Evaluate progress toward achieving milestones in the WPP and publish an addendum to the Plum Creek WPP that describes modifications/updates to goals and milestones, documents success in achieving goals and milestones and success in achieving water quality improvement and load reductions.
- Reduction in potential bacterial contamination and nutrient loading for streams from agricultural and urban nonpoint source pollution.
- Increased knowledge of citizens, landowners and agricultural producers of management measures identified in WPP through outreach and educational efforts including training programs.

These efforts will ultimately lead to greater stakeholder engagement, increased local investment and more BMPs on the ground

#### 2012 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

**Component One** – Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives, and strategies that protect surface and ground water.

**LTG 2** - Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to prevent NPS pollution through assessment, implementation, and education.

**LTG 3** - Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to reduce NPS pollution, such as the implementation of strategies defined in TMDL I-Plans, WPPs, and other water planning efforts in the state.

**LTG 6** - Develop partnerships, relationships, memoranda of agreement, and other instruments to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage NPS pollution.

LTG 7 - Increase overall public awareness of NPS issues and prevention activities.

**LTG 8** - Enhance public participation and outreach by providing forums for citizens and industry to contribute their ideas and concerns about the water quality management process.

**STG 2D** - Implement TMDL I-Plans, WPPs, and other state, regional, and local plans developed to restore and maintain water quality in water bodies identified as impacted by NPS pollution.

**STG 3B** - Administer programs to educate citizens about water quality and their potential role in causing NPS pollution.

**STG 3D** - Conduct outreach through the CRP, Texas A&M AgriLife Extension, SWCDs, and others to enable stakeholders and the public to participate in decision-making and provide a more complete understanding of water quality issues and how they relate to each citizen.

**STG 3G** - Implement public outreach and education to maintain and restore water quality in water bodies impacted by NPS pollution.

**Component Two** - Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate State, interstate, Tribal, regional, and local entities, private sector groups, and Federal agencies.

**Component Six** - Implement all NPS program components required by CWA §319(b) and establish flexible, targeted, and iterative approaches to achieve and maintain beneficial uses of water as expeditiously as practicable, including:

- a mix of water quality-based and/or technology-based programs designed to achieve and maintain beneficial uses of water; and
- a mix of regulatory, non-regulatory, financial, and technical assistance as needed to achieve and maintain beneficial uses of water as expeditiously as practicable.

**Component Eight** - Manage and implement the NPS program efficiently and effectively, including necessary financial management.

**EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements** 

FY 2018-2022 EPA Strategic Plan Reference

Strategic Plan Goal – Goal 1 Core Mission

Strategic Plan Objective – Objective 1.2 Provide for Clean and Safe Water

# **Part III – Financial Information**

<b>Budget Summary</b>	7						
Federal	\$	219,	920	%	6 of total p	project	52%
Non-Federal	\$	201,	681	%	6 of total p	project	48%
Total	\$	421,	601		Total		100%
Category			Federal			Non-Federal	Total
Personnel		\$	144,00	0	\$	109,077	\$ 253,077
Fringe Benefits		\$		0	\$	5, 296	\$ 5, 296
Travel		\$	6,50	0	\$	0	\$ 6,500
Equipment		\$		0	\$	0	\$ 0
Supplies		\$	6,43	8	\$	3,900	\$ 10,338
Contractual		\$	10,00	0	\$	0	\$ 10,000
Construction		\$		0	\$	0	\$ 0
Other		\$	21,30	2	\$	59,411	\$ 80,713
Total Direct Costs		\$	188,24	0	\$	177,684	\$ 365,924
Indirect Costs ( $\leq 1$	.5%)	\$	31,68	0	\$	23,997	\$ 55,677
Total Project Cost	s	\$	219,92	0	\$	201,681	\$ 421,601

Budget Justification (Federal)					
Category	Total Amount		Justification		
Personnel	\$	144,000	Salary for Watershed Coordinator for 4.25 years @ $0.60$ FTE = \$140,400 Plum Creek Watershed Partnership Intern @ $12/hr x 20$ hr/week for 25 weeks @ $60\% = (12x20x25) x 0.6 = $3,600$		
Fringe Benefits	\$	0	N/A		
Travel	\$	6,500	Mileage at state rate. Travel in watershed on a daily basis; periodic overnight stays at @ \$83 room night and \$46/day per diem		
Equipment	\$	0	N/A		
Supplies	\$	6,438	Computer (\$2,360); Computer Software/Licenses including Constant Contact, Cloud Storage, Publishing Software (\$2,288); Paper, Toner, General office supplies for watershed coordinator for 4.25 years (\$1,200); Camera and supplies (\$300); logoed table cloth (\$140); projector screen (\$150)		
Contractual*	\$	10,000	Development of new website and social media platform for Plum Creek Watershed Partnership (\$10,000)		
Construction	\$	0	N/A		
Other	\$	21,302	Website maintenance (\$500); cellular service (\$2,550); Google Suite Account (\$612); postage/shipping (\$200); publication costs (\$2,000); newspaper article space in local newspapers (\$1,500); costs of training workshops including one in-field riparian workshops, one conventional OSSF workshop for homeowners, three aerobic system operation and maintenance workshops for homeowners (\$1,000); stream team supplies (\$1000); Enviroscape watershed model and outreach supplies (\$2,330); professional development including Watershed Planning Short Course, Soil Health Conference, LID workshops, KTB Conferences, booth space at TSSWCB Annual Meeting of SWCD Directors, etc. (\$2,110); Liability and Worker's Compensation Insurance for Watershed Coordinator at \$3,750 per year for two years = \$7,500		
Indirect	\$	31,680	22% of personnel category		

Budget Justification (Non-Federal)						
Category	Total Amount		Justification			
Personnel	\$	109,077	Salary for watershed coordinator for 4.25 years @ $0.40 \text{ FTE} = \$93,600$ Plum Creek Watershed Partnership Intern @ $\$12/hr \times 20 hr/week$ for 25 weeks @ $40\% = (12x20x25) \times 0.4 = \$2,400$ GBRA Water Quality Program Supervisor: .05 FTE per year - $\$3,077$ (4.25 years - $\$13,077$ )			
Fringe Benefits	\$	5,296	Fringe for GBRA Water Quality Program Supervisor @ 40.5%			
Travel	\$	0	N/A			
Equipment	\$	0	N/A			
Supplies	\$	3,900	General office supplies (\$3,500); Publishing software (\$400)			
Contractual*	\$	0	N/A			
Construction	\$	0	N/A			
Other	\$	59,411	Office rental (\$11,700), internet service (\$4,580), vehicle (\$18,812), in-kind donation of meeting rooms with 6 public meetings @ \$200 rental rate (\$1,200);Publication costs (\$2,230); Professional Development (\$3,565); 51 months of storage for Plum Creek supplies and archives (\$1,052); and volunteer time for cleanup including 113 volunteers per year for 3 hours each @ $$12/hr = $4,068$ per year X 4 years (\$16,272).			
Indirect	\$	23,997	22% of personnel category			