

TSSWCB CWA §319(h) Project 15-07 07-02-18 Page 1 of 21

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board Clean Water Act §319(h) Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2015 Workplan 15-07

	SUM	MARY PAGE		
Title of Project	Addressing Agricultural	NPS Pollution in the Arroyo Colo	orado W	atershed through
	Continuing Education of	Best Management Practices		
Project Goals	Develop materials n	eeded to educate agricultural producer	s focused	l on technical and
	financial information	n of BMPs		
	• Deliver a focused	education program on irrigation tec	hniques	and specifics to
	agricultural produce	ers to increase adoption of BMPs		
	• Educate agricultural	l producers on the economics of and v	arious in	centive programs
	• Host field tours and	demonstrations for agricultural produ	cers to o	hearve the effects
	of BMPs	demonstrations for agricultural produ		bserve the effects
	• Increase the number of producers that participate in incentive programs and install BMPs			
	• Successfully host a	soil testing campaign to encourage	the reduc	ction of fertilizer
	application			
Project Tasks	(1) Project Administratio	on; (2) Encourage the Utilization of and	l Continu	e Annual Soil
	Testing Campaign; (3) C	conduct Education and Outreach to Inci	ease Lan	ndowner
	Participation in Incentive	e Programs		
Measures of Success	• Developed education	nal materials on specifications of BMP	'S	
	Education of agricul	Itural producers	adamtian	of DMDo
Drainet Trute	• Increased participati	ion in incentive programs or increased	adoption	OF BMPS
Status of Waterbody on	Segment ID	Deremator of Impoirment or Concern	; Ground	
Status of waterbody on	<u>Segment ID</u> 2201 (Arroya Calarada	Parameter of Impairment of Concern	$\frac{Ca}{5c}$	llegory
2012 Texas Integrated Report	Tidal)	DDE in adible tissue	50	
Кероп	Titual)	Depressed dissolved oxygen	50	
		Mercury in edible tissue	50	
		PCBs in edible tissue	5e 5a	
			Ju	
	2201B (Unnamed	Bacteria	5b	
	drainage tributary)			
	2202 (Arroyo Colorado	Bacteria	5b	
	Above Tidal)	Mercury in edible tissue	5c	
		PCBs in edible tissue	5a	
Project Location (Statewide or Watershed and County)	Arroyo Colorado Waters	hed in Hidalgo, Cameron and Willacy	Counties	3
Key Project Activities	Hire Staff (); Surface W	ater Quality Monitoring (); Technical	Assistan	ce ();
	Education (X); Implement	ntation (X); BMP Effectiveness Monite	oring ();	
	Demonstration (X); Plan	ning (); Modeling (); Bacterial Source	• Trackin	g (); Other ()
2012 Texas NPS	• Component 1: LTGs	1, 2, 3, 5, 6,		
Management Program	• Component 1: STG 2	2D, STG 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 3F		
Reference	Component 2: E3			
Project Costs	Federal \$316,911	Non-Federal \$209,747	Total	\$526,658

Project Management	Texas Water Resources Institute
Project Period	November 1, 2015 – October 31, 2019

Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant

Project Lea	d	Lucas Gregory							
Title		Senior Research	Scientist						
Organizatio	on	Texas A&M Ag	riLife Rese	earch, Tex	as W	ater Resour	ces Institu	te	
E-mail Add	lress	lfgregory@ag.ta	mu.edu						
Street Add	ess	578 John Kimbr	ough Blvd	, TAMU 2	260				
City	College Sta	tion	County	Brazos		State	TX	Zip Code	77843
Telephone	Number	979-845-7869			Fax	x Number	979-845-	8554	

Co-Applicant

Project Lea	ıd	Ruben Saldan	a						
Title		District Exten	sion Admi	inistrator, South	Distri	ct 12			
Organizatio	on	Texas A&M A	AgriLife E	xtension Service	e				
E-mail Add	lress	rjsaldana@ag	.tamu.edu						
Street Add	ess	2401 East Hig	ghway 83						
City	Weslaco		County	Hidalgo		State	TX	Zip Code	78596
Telephone	Number	956-968-5581			Fax I	Number	956-968	8-5639	

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and
Board (TSSWCB)	ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ.
Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Texas	Provide overall project coordination and administration, reporting, project
Water Resources Institute (TWRI)	education and outreach and coordination of education and outreach,
	develop educational materials, promote the participation in incentive
	programs
Texas A&M, Department of Biological	Work with TWRI in updating educational materials to make region
and Agricultural Engineering	specific and present during educational events, as appropriate
Texas A&M AgriLife, District 12	Work with TWRI in updating educational materials to make region
Research and Extension Center	specific and present during educational events, as appropriate
Texas A&M AgriLife Extension,	Work with TWRI in updating education materials, support the education
Department of Agricultural Economics	programs and discuss the economics of BMPs as a primary driver for
	adoption.
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Work with and assist SWCDs in the development, implementation, and
Board, Harlingen Regional Office (HRO)	maintenance of WQMPs. Responsible for technical review and
	certification of WQMPs. Provide information to AgriLife Extension to
	coordinate related education programs and assist with demonstration field
	tour

Southmost Soil and Water Conservation	Lead cost-share program and provide information to AgriLife Extension
District #319 and Hidalgo Soil and Water	to coordinate related education programs and assist with demonstration
Conservation District #350 (SWCD)	field tour

Part II – Project Information

Project Type Surface Water Groundwater Х Does the project implement recommendations made in (a) a completed WPP, (b) an adopted TMDL, (c) an approved I-Plan, (d) a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan Yes Х No developed under CWA §320, (e) the Texas Coastal NPS Pollution Control Program, or (f) the Texas Groundwater Protection Strategy? A Watershed Protection Plan for the Arroyo Colorado Phase I If yes, identify the document. Arroyo Colorado Watershed Partnership If yes, identify the agency/group that Year developed and/or approved the document. facilitated by Texas Commission on Developed 2007 Environmental Quality and Texas Sea Grant

Watershed Information				
Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2010 IR	Size (Acres)
Lower Arroyo Colorado	121102080700	2201	5c 5c 5c 5c 5a	83,558 acres
Middle Arroyo Colorado	121102080600	2202	5b 5c 5a	105,412 acres
Upper Arroyo Colorado	121102080100	2202	5b 5c 5a	109,630 acres

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water qua impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: 2012 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Ba Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources. Impairments SegID 2201: Arroyo Colorado Tidal: From the confluence with Laguna Madre in Cameron/Willacy County to point 100 meters (110 yards) downstream of Cemetery Road south of Port Harlingen in Cameron County Parameter Category Year Bacteria 5c	lity Isin a
Impairments SegID 2201: Arroyo Colorado Tidal: From the confluence with Laguna Madre in Cameron/Willacy County to point 100 meters (110 yards) downstream of Cemetery Road south of Port Harlingen in Cameron County Parameter Category Year Bacteria 5c 2006	a
SegID 2201: Arroyo Colorado Tidal: From the confluence with Laguna Madre in Cameron/Willacy County to point 100 meters (110 yards) downstream of Cemetery Road south of Port Harlingen in Cameron County Parameter Category Year Bacteria 5c 2006	a
ParameterCategoryYearBacteria5c2006	
Bacteria 5c 2006	
2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary	ŗ
2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	ſ
2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the upstream end of the segment	
Parameter Category Year	
DDE in edible tissue 5c 2010	
2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the upstream end of the segment	
Parameter Category Year	
Depressed dissolved oxygen 5c 1996	
 2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the upstream end of the segment 	:
Parameter Category Year	
Mercury in edible tissue 5c 2008	
2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the upstream end of the segment	
Parameter Category Year	
PCBs in edible tissue 5a 2008	

2201_05: From just upstream of upstream end of the segment	the City of Hondo Wastewater Disch	arge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the
1		
SegID 2201B: Unnamed Drain water body) From the conflue at -97.6, 26.196 decimal degree	age Ditch Tributary (B) in Camero nce with the Arroyo Colorado in Ca es to a point 17.6 km upstream at th	on County Drainage District #3 (unclassified ameron County in the Rio Hondo turning basin ie FM 510 crossing.
Parameter	Category	Year
Bacteria	5b	2010
2201B_01: Entire Water Body		
SegID 2202: Arroyo Colorado south of Port Harlingen in Car	Above Tidal: From a point 100 me meron County to FM 2062 in Hidal	ters (110 yards) downstream of Cemetery Road go County
Parameter	Category	Year
Bacteria	5b	1996
2202_01: From the downstream	end of segment to the confluence wit	h Little Creek just upstream of State Loop 499.
2202_02: From the confluence v Highway.	vith Little Creek to the confluence wi	th La Feria Main Canal just upstream of Dukes
2202_03: From the confluence v Cruz Resaca just downstream of	vith La Feria Main Canal just upstream FM 907	m of Dukes Highway to the confluence with La
2202_04: From the confluence v	with La Cruz Resaca to the upper end	of segment at FM 2062
Parameter	Category	Year
Mercury in edible tissue	5c	2008
2202_01: From the downstream	end of segment to the confluence wit	h Little Creek just upstream of State Loop 499.
2202_02: From the confluence v Highway.	vith Little Creek to the confluence wi	th La Feria Main Canal just upstream of Dukes
2202_03: From the confluence v Cruz Resaca just downstream of	vith La Feria Main Canal just upstream FM 907	m of Dukes Highway to the confluence with La
2202_04: From the confluence v	with La Cruz Resaca to the upper end	of segment at FM 2062
Parameter	Category	Year
PCBs in edible tissue	5a	2008
2202_01: From the downstream	end of segment to the confluence wit	h Little Creek just upstream of State Loop 499.

2202_02: From the confluence with Little Creek to the confluence Highway.	ence with La Feria Main Canal just upstream of Dukes
2202_03: From the confluence with La Feria Main Canal just Cruz Resaca just downstream of FM 907	upstream of Dukes Highway to the confluence with La
2202_04: From the confluence with La Cruz Resaca to the upp Concerns	per end of segment at FM 2062
Seg ID 2201: Arroyo Colorado Tidal: From confluence wit point 100 meters (110 yards) downstream of Cemetery Roa	h Laguna Madre in Cameron/Willacy County to a ad south of Port Harlingen in Cameron County
Parameter	Level of Concern
bacteria	CN
2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the com	fluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch
2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Di with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31	tch to the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch
Parameter	Level of Concern
Chlorophyll-a	CS
2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the cont	fluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch
2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Di with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31	tch to the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch
2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditc 26.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary	h with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W
2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tribe Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	utary to just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater
2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewate upstream end of the segment	r Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the
Parameter	Level of Concern
Depressed dissolved oxygen	CN
2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewate upstream end of the segment	r Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the
Parameter	Level of Concern
nitrate	CS
2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the cont	fluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch
2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Di with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31	tch to the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch

2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage 26.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributar	ditch with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W y
2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	tributary to just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater
2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastev upstream end of the segment	water Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the
Parameter orthophosphorus	Level of Concern CS
2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage 26.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributar	ditch with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W y
2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	tributary to just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater
2201_05: From just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastev upstream end of the segment	vater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 to the
SegID 2201A: Harding Ranch Drainage Ditch Tributar body)	ry (A) to the Arroyo Colorado Tidal (unclassified water
Darameter	Level of Concern
ammonia	CS
2201A_01: Entire water body	
SegID 2201B: Unnamed Drainage Ditch Tributary (B) water body)	in Cameron County Drainage District #3 (unclassified
Parameter	Level of Concern
Chlorophyll-a	CS
2201B_01: Entire Water Body	
Parameter	Level of Concern
nitrate	CS
2201B_01: Entire Water Body	
SegID 2202: Arroyo Colorado Above Tidal: From a po south of Port Harlingen in Cameron County to FM 206	int 100 meters (110 yards) downstream of Cemetery Road 2 in Hidalgo County
Parameter	Level of Concern
Chlorophyll-a	CS

2201_01. I foil the downstream end of the segment to the confidence with San Vincente Dia	inage Ditch
2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an u with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31	innamed drainage ditch
2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 121101080053 26.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary	353 at point N-97.53, W
2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the Cir Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	ty of Hondo Wastewater
Parameter Level of C	oncern
nitrate	
2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drai	inage Ditch
2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an u with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31	innamed drainage ditch
2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 121101080052 26.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary	353 at point N-97.53, W
2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the Cir Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	ty of Hondo Wastewater
Parameter Level of C	Concern
ParameterLevel of CorthophosphorusCS	<u>Concern</u>
Parameter Level of C orthophosphorus CS 2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drait	Concern S inage Ditch
Parameter Level of C orthophosphorus CS 2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drain 2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an u with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31	Concern S inage Ditch innamed drainage ditch
ParameterLevel of CorthophosphorusCS2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drainage2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an uwith NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.312201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 12110108005326.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary	Concern S inage Ditch Innamed drainage ditch 353 at point N-97.53, W
ParameterLevel of CorthophosphorusCS2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drainage2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an uwith NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.312201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 12110108005326.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the CirDischarge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	Concern S inage Ditch Innamed drainage ditch 353 at point N-97.53, W ty of Hondo Wastewater
Parameter Level of C orthophosphorus CS 2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an u CS 2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an u with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31 2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 121101080053 26.31 2201_03: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary 2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the Cite Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186	Concern S inage Ditch Innamed drainage ditch 353 at point N-97.53, W ty of Hondo Wastewater
ParameterLevel of CorthophosphorusCS2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drai2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an uwith NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.312201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 12110108005326.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the CirDischarge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186ParameterLevel of CTotal phosphorusCS	Concern inage Ditch innamed drainage ditch 353 at point N-97.53, W ty of Hondo Wastewater
ParameterLevel of CorthophosphorusCS2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drai2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an uwith NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.312201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 12110108005226.31 to the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the CirDischarge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186ParameterLevel of CTotal phosphorus2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drain	Concern inage Ditch innamed drainage ditch 353 at point N-97.53, W ty of Hondo Wastewater Concern
Parameter Level of C orthophosphorus CS 2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drai 2201_02: From the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an u with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31 2201_03: From the confluence with an unnamed drainage ditch with NHD RC 12110108005353 2201_03: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary 2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the Circle Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186 Parameter Level of C Total phosphorus CS 2201_01: From the downstream end of the segment to the confluence with San Vincente Drainage Ditch to the confluence with an u with NHD RC 12110108005353 at point N-97.53, W 26.31	Concern inage Ditch innamed drainage ditch 353 at point N-97.53, W ty of Hondo Wastewater Concern inage Ditch innamed drainage ditch

2201_04: From the confluence with Harding Ranch Ditch tributary to just upstream of the City of Hondo Wastewater Discharge at point N-97.58359, W26.247186

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

The Arroyo Colorado is located in Cameron, Hidalgo, and Willacy counties in the Lower Rio Grande Valley of South Texas. The Arroyo Colorado flows approximately 90 miles from east of McAllen, transecting Hidalgo and Cameron counties and forming the boundary for Cameron and Willacy counties for the last 16 miles until it reaches the Lower Laguna Madre. To the Lower Laguna Madre, the Arroyo Colorado is the primary source of fresh water and serves as a nursery for aquatic life. The land that drains into the Arroyo Colorado is known as the Arroyo Colorado Watershed which is approximately 706 square miles comprising of various land uses. Those land uses have been classified by the Spatial Sciences Lab of Texas A&M University at College Station. Primary land uses include agriculture (54%), range (18.5%), urban (12%), water bodies (6%) and sugarcane (4%) (Kannan, 2012); however, vegetable and fruit crops are grown in portions of the watershed and some industry exists. Two of the primary users of water in the watershed are agriculture and municipalities and flow in the Arroyo Colorado serves as a conveyer of this water as it leaves the system. When wastewater discharges and agricultural return flows enter the Arroyo Colorado, they carry nutrients, sediment and bacteria into the water body, causing threat to its various users of the water.

The tidal segment of the Arroyo Colorado was first listed as having low levels of dissolved oxygen in 1996 and elevated levels of bacteria in 2006 (Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, 2013) while the above tidal segment was listed in 1996 for having elevated levels of bacteria. To address the initial impairment of low dissolved oxygen, the Arroyo Colorado Agricultural Issues Workgroup, made up of local, state, and federal stakeholders, recommended that education and outreach be one of the priorities for implementation. Since then, several projects have occurred such as an integrated farm management program, pesticide education program, and cost-share education program and have been effective in raising awareness about water quality; however, the Arroyo Colorado Watershed Partnership has still not met its goal of 150,000 irrigated acres under conservation programs. To address this, an education program is needed to 1) continue raising awareness, and 2) provide technical education so that people understand the practices they need to adopt. Recent evaluation results also support these needs.

In a recent evaluation assessing educational needs of agricultural producers in the Lower Rio Grande Valley of Texas, results indicated that water quantity was the primary educational need. Specifically, agricultural producers indicated that they are interested in the amount of irrigation water available for the upcoming year and specific conservation practices that reduce the amount of irrigation water used. This second need is especially important in improving water quality as Enciso (2012) found that the excessive tailwater during irrigation events can contain the highest amount of nutrients contributing to local impairments. Next, agricultural producers were generally interested in water quality. Two educational needs questions that producers agreed with the most, related to water quality, were how water quality impacts your operation and what current water quality levels are. Thirdly, financial incentives were the next highest area that producers were interested in. How to apply for financial incentives and sources of financial incentives available to help pay for conservation practices ranked amongst the highest in the construct. Finally, respondents to the evaluation agreed the most to how I can improve my operation by adopting conservation practices and updates on conservation practice effectiveness the most, related to conservation practice educational needs. Addressing these educational needs is crucial to gaining widespread adoption of agricultural management practices.

A second component of the above evaluation was to assess the barriers to adopting management practices by agricultural producers in the Lower Rio Grande Valley. Results indicated that economic barriers were the primary

reasons for non-adoption. Specifically, respondents indicated that the initial cost of installing and low incentive levels were the primary economic reasons. Secondly, information and education was the next highest barrier to adopting practices. The lack of information about conservation practice effectiveness and the lack of opportunities to see practices at demonstrations were the highest priorities of the construct, followed closely by the lack of educational opportunities about conservation practices and not knowing about incentive programs. These results indicate that there is a need for educational programs that will address the primary barriers to adopting practices. Supporting these barriers in the literature, Nowak (1992) described two reasons for non-adoption; 1) being unable to adopt and 2) being unwilling to adopt. In the first reason, he describes that information is lacking or scarce, the availability and accessibility or supporting resources is limited which are directly tied to education. In his second reason, he says that limitation is related conflicting information, poor applicability and relevance of information which are also related to education. Rogers (2003) also supports this by providing the needed components of innovations, which are: relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, observability, and trialability. Educators are not able to meet the component of trailability; however, the other four can be provided. Recent education programs have been unable to provide the first three components to producers and as a result, the amount of interest in adopting management practices has not met the goals of the Arroyo Colorado Partnership. It is the goal of this project to deliver the most relevant information to agricultural producers and equip them with the technical information needed to adopt best management practices, especially through incentive programs.

References:

Kannan, N. Texas Water Resources Institute, (2012). *Swat modeling of the arroyo colorado watershed* (Technical Report 426). Retrieved from website:

http://twri.tamu.edu/reports/2012/tr426.pdf

Nowak, P. (1992). Why farmers adopt production technology Overcoming impediments to adoption of crop residue management techniques will be crucial to implementation of conservation compliance plans. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 47(1), 14-16.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of Innovations. New York, NY: Free Press.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality. (2013, February 13). Draft 2012 Texas integrated report - Texas 303(d) list (category 5). Retrieved from

http://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/waterquality/swqm/assess/12twqi/2012_303d.pdf

Project Narrative

General Project Description (Include Project Location Map)

The primary focus of this project is to continue efforts from TSSWCB 10-11, but enhance the education program delivery and provide more specific, technical and financial information that will address both nutrient and bacteria induced impairments. This project compliments ongoing partnership efforts of implementing the Arroyo Colorado Watershed Protection Plan but addresses the need of educating the agricultural community, a gap existing within other current projects.

First, a soil testing campaign will be held that will offer producers free soil testing. The soil testing campaign will be advertised through direct mailings and the airing of a previously developed Public Service Announcement. The Extension Assistant will be available to assist with interpreting soil testing results when assistance is requested. An educational event will be held to kick off the soil testing campaign; the event will focus on the importance of soil health's role in nutrient management and water quality.

In this project, a producer mailing list will be developed and enhanced so contact with additional producers can be made. The Extension Assistant will then use this mailing list for direct mailings to producers that will be geared toward

highlighting the availability of and involvement in incentive programs. Additionally, the Extension Assistant will provide news releases and direct mailings about upcoming educational opportunities. Direct contact will be made through personal contact and meetings with key stakeholders, as identified by other individuals. Finally, the Extension Assistant will attend SWCD meetings twice annually to provide them with information about the program.

In recent projects, bacteria have not been a primary focus of educational efforts. Under this project, the Extension Assistant will develop and distribute additional information about technical and financial assistance for both nutrient and bacteria BMPs. Some of this information will need to be tailored to the Arroyo Colorado watershed.

The primary objective of this project is to educate agricultural producers in the Arroyo Colorado watershed. To do so, the Extension Assistant will coordinate an Irrigation Training Program that will be held annually and provide producers with technical information needed to make decisions about various BMPs including but not limited to irrigation scheduling using weather station data (solar radiation, wind speed, humidity, rainfall), irrigation application technology, soil moisture sensors, etc. Educational events will target various aspects of irrigation and materials will be provided to meeting participants. The Extension Assistant will host an annual meeting for producers that specifically promotes technical and financial assistance programs and highlights BMPs that can be adopted through those programs. Also, the Extension Assistant will host at least one field tour annually that will demonstrate the use of BMPs and their efficiency.

Finally, the Extension Assistant will continue to provide educational materials to various entities such as irrigation districts, drainage districts, and commodity organizations to encourage discussions among entities. The Extension Assistant will also participate in each of these entity meetings annually to discuss the project. The Extension Assistant will continue to support, promote, and participate in any field days, site tours, and education events as appropriate.



Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedu	les						
Task 1	Project Administ	tration						
Costs	Federal	\$25,353		Non-Federal	\$16,780	То	tal	\$42,133
Objective	To effectively ad	lminister,	coordina	te and monitor al	1 work performed	under thi	s project	including
	technical and fin	ancial sup	pervision	and preparation of	of status reports.			
Subtask 1.1	TWRI will prepa	are electro	onic quart	terly progress rep	orts (QPRs) for su	bmission	to the T	SSWCB. QPRs
	shall document a	ll activiti	es perfor	med within a qua	rter and shall be su	ubmitted	by the 15	5 th of January,
	April, July and C	October. C	PRs shal	ll be distributed to	o all Project Partne	ers.		
	Start Date	e]	Month 1	Completion 1	Date		Month 48
Subtask 1.2	TWRI will perfo	rm accou	nting fun	ctions for project	funds and will su	bmit appr	opriate I	Reimbursement
	Forms to TSSW	CB at leas	st quarter	ly.				
	Start Date	Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 48						
Subtask 1.3	TWRI will host coordination meetings or conference calls, at least quarterly, with Project Partners to							
	discuss project activities, project schedule, communication needs, deliverables, and other requirements.							
	TWRI will develop lists of action items needed following each project coordination meeting and							
	distribute to project personnel.							
	Start Date	e]	Month 1	Completion 1	Date		Month 48
Subtask 1.4	TWRI will develop a Final Report that summarizes activities completed, conclusions reached during the							
	project and discusses the extent to which project goals and measures of success have been achieved.							
	Start Date	Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 48						
Deliverables	QPRs in electronic format							
	Reimbursen	nent Forn	ns and ne	cessary documen	tation in hard copy	y format		
	Final Report	t in electr	onic and	hard copy format	ts			

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedu	les					
Task 2	Encourage the U	tilization of and	l Continue Annual S	oil Testing Campa	nign		
Costs	Federal	\$19,015	Non-Federal	\$12,584	Total	\$31,599	
Objective	To host and adve	ertise for a soil	testing campaign tha	t will help produce	ers meet requiren	ients of various	
	management pra	ctices and incer	ntive programs.				
Subtask 2.1	To encourage th	e use of soil te	sting in support of n	utrient manageme	nt, AgriLife Exte	ension will host a	
	soil testing cam	paign for agric	ultural producers in	Cameron, Hidalg	o and Willacy c	ounties. The soil	
	testing campaign	will be offered	in the fall/winter of	2015, 2016, and 2	017. Producers w	ill be encouraged	
	to soil test to c	letermine nutri	ent application need	ds and AgriLife I	Extension will p	rovide follow-up	
	educational assis	stance to interpr	et soil test results.				
	This project will				a annuallu taluan i	within the America	
	This project will	pay up to \$10 p	er som test sample for	or up to 400 sample	s annually taken	within the Arroyo	
	consistent with t	Colorado watersned; unis project will pay for all soll tests necessary to comply with soll testing frequencies					
	for with project funding must be completed by a public soil testing laboratory, such as the Agril ife						
	Extension Soil Water and Forage Testing Laboratory						
	Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 48						
Subtask 2.2	Advertisement for the soil testing campaign will be conducted through direct mailings to producers						
	identified in Sub	task 3.1 and thr	ough the airing of a	Soil Testing Publi	c Service Annou	ncement	
	developed under a previous project. County Extension Agents will help promote the soil testing						
	campaign within their respective counties through their educational programs and direct contact. Email						
	and social media will also be utilized to help advertise the campaign.						
-	Start Date	e	Month 1	Completion I	Date	Month 48	
Deliverables	• Number of	soil testing part	icipants annually				
	• Results of s	oil testing camp	aign samples				
	Airing sche	dule of Public S	Service Announceme	ents			

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedules					
Task 3	Conduct Education and Outreach to Increase Landowner Participation in Incentive Programs					
Costs	Federal \$272,5	43 Non-Federal	\$180,383	Fotal \$452,926		
Objective	To deliver educational m	aterials and host educationa	al events and field days a	s well as evaluate		
	educational program effe	ctiveness. Further, objectiv	es are to contact landown	ers through direct		
	mailings, direct contact a	nd support and participate i	n entity meetings and otl	ner demonstrations.		
Subtask 3.1	The Extension Assistant	will develop a mailing list o	of producers in the Arroy	o Colorado Watershed and		
	updated the mailing list a	is needed.				
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48		
Subtask 3.2	The Extension Assistant will periodically distribute direct mailings to producers identified in Subtask					
	3.1. Direct mailings and personal contact will be geared towards highlighting the availability of and					
	involvement in available incentive programs. Also, direct mailings and news releases will advertise					
	upcoming educational opportunities. Further, AgriLife Extension will engage producers through					
	personal contact. Direct contact will be made with individuals identified in Subtask 3.1 as well as					
	attendance at SWCD me	etings (twice annually at each	ch SWCD).			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48		

Subtask 3.3	The Extension Assistant will use information in the USDA-NRCS Field Office Technical Guide to						
	develop educational mate	rials that highlight specific	ations, beyond descriptions	s, about various BMPs			
	that are of interest to prod	lucers. The Extension Assis	stant will also work with A	griLife Extension			
	Department of Agricultural Economics to incorporate information on the economics of BMPs as a						
	primary driver for adoption. Materials will be distributed at the various educational events in the						
	following subtasks. Also,	materials that educate proc	lucers on technical and fina	ancial assistance will be			
	updated and delivered at e	educational events to furthe	er encourage the adoption of	of BMPs through			
	assistance programs.						
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48			
Subtask 3.4	The Extension Assistant v	will coordinate an Irrigation	n Training Program that wi	ll be held once annually.			
	This program will consist	of specialists that will pres	sent on various topics inclu	ding, but not limited to:			
	Economics of BN	IP adoption	-	-			
	Irrigation schedul	ling using local and regiona	al weather data				
	Irrigation technol	ogies and BMPs					
	Water quality issu	ues					
	• Crop-specific gui	delines					
	Materials will be printed	and provided to participant	S.				
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48			
Subtask 3.5	The Extension Assistant v	will coordinate with SWCE	s, NRCS, HRO, and coope	erating producers to host			
	one educational meeting a	annually, specifically dedic	ated to promoting technica	l and financial assistance			
	programs. The Extension	Assistant will utilize previ	ously developed materials	as well as develop			
	technical materials that highlight the specifications of BMPs in the NRCS FOTG (subtask 3.3).						
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48			
Subtask 3.6	AgriLife Extension will c	coordinate with SWCDs, N	RCS, HRO, and cooperati	ng producers to host field			
	tours that demonstrate be	enefits of BMPs and WQ	MPs. At least 1 field tou	rs will be held annually.			
	Demonstrations will inclu	ide the use of equipment to	illustrate the efficacy of B	MPs.			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48			
Subtask 3.7	Educational program (Su	btasks 3.4, 3.5, 3.6) effect	tiveness will be assessed u	using a post-evaluation at			
	events. Evaluations will	contain before and after se	cales for producers to rate	their opinions about the			
	program that will be used	to assess their gain in know	wledge and willingness to a	adopt.			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48			
Subtask 3.8	The Extension Assistant	will continue providing in	rigation districts, drainage	districts, and commodity			
	organizations with educat	tional material to encourag	e water quality discussion	s among entities. Further,			
	the Extension Assistant v	vill attempt to participate in	n at least 3 entities meeting	gs annually to discuss the			
	project.			1			
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48			
Subtask 3.9	The Extension Assistant	t will support, promote,	and participate in at leas	st one annual field day,			
	demonstration, site tour,	or education event sponsor	red by NRCS, HRO, and/c	or SWCDs for the Arroyo			
	Colorado watershed, as a	ppropriate.					
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 48			

Deliverables	Direct mailing list
	News releases
	Promote soil testing campaign
	BMP specifications and economics educational materials
	Annual Irrigation Training Program curriculum and agenda
	Additional educational materials (developed as appropriate)
	 Annual financial and technical assistance program agenda
	Annual demonstration field tour agenda
	• Number of meeting attendees
	Post-evaluations at educational events
	Entity meeting agendas participated in
	• List of field days, demonstrations and other events participated in

Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)

- Develop educational materials that focus on specifications of BMPs to reduce the complexity of BMPs and demonstrate compatibility with current operations
- Educate agricultural producers on various aspects of irrigation to demonstrate the feasibility of adopting BMPs
- Support and promote the adoption of BMPs through incentive programs by hosting annual cost-share update meetings and field tours to demonstrate the effectiveness of BMPs
- Increase the number of BMPs that have been adopted through providing materials and other educational efforts

Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

- Development of educational materials specifically addressing BMPs and incentive programs.
- Number of producers reached through education programs.
- Increase in the number of BMPs installed as a result of increased outreach and education through this project.
- At least 900 producers (approximately 130 samples in year 1 and 400 samples in years 2 & 3) will participate in the soil testing campaign
- Evaluation of educational programs and producers likeliness to adopt practices

2012 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

Component 1: Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives ... that protect surface and groundwater.

- LTG 1: Focus NPS abatement efforts, implementation strategies, and available resources in watersheds identified as impacted by nonpoint source pollution
- LTG 2: Support the implementation of state, regional and local programs to prevent NPS pollution through assessment, implementation and education.
- LTG 3: Support the implementation of state, regional and local programs to reduce NPS pollution, such as implementation of strategies defined in... Watershed Protection Plans
- LTG 5: Develop partnerships, relationships, ... to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage NPS pollution
- LTG 6: Increase overall public awareness of NPS issues and prevention activities
- STG 2: Implementation: Coordinate and administer the implementation of Watershed Protection Plans and other state, regional, and local plans/programs to reduce NPS pollution.
 - Objective D: Implement... Watershed Protection Plans developed to restore and maintain water quality in water bodies identified as impacted by nonpoint source pollution.
- STG 3: Education: Conduct education and technology transfer activities to help increase awareness of NPS pollution and prevent activities contributing to the degradation of water bodies, including aquifers, by NPS pollution
 - Objective A: Enhance existing outreach programs at the state, regional and local levels to maximize the effectiveness of NPS education
 - Objective B: Administer programs to educate citizens about water quality and their potential role in causing NPS pollution
 - Objective C: Where applicable, expedite development of technology transfer activities to be conducted upon completion of BMP implementation.
 - Objective D: Conduct outreach through the ... Texas Cooperative Extension, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, and others to facilitate broader participation and partnerships. Enable stakeholders and the public to participate in decision-making and provide a more complete understanding of water quality issues and how they relate to each citizen.
 - Objective F: Implement public outreach and education to maintain and restore water quality in waterbodies impacted by NPS pollution.

Component 2: Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, ..., regional and local entities, private sector groups and Federal agencies.

Component 3: Balanced approach that emphasizes both state-wide nonpoint source programs and on-the-ground management of individual watersheds.

Estimated Load Reductions Expected (Only applicable to Implementation Project Type)

While this project is implementing an integral part of the Arroyo Colorado WPP, expected load reductions cannot be quantified; however, loading reductions can be quantified through the adoption of BMPs that this project is promoting.

EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements FY 2011-2015 EPA Strategic Plan Reference

Strategic Plan Goal – Goal 2 Protecting America's Waters

Strategic Plan Objective – Objective 2.2 Protect and Restore Watersheds and Aquatic Ecosystems

Part III – Financial Information

Budget Summary	7							
Federal	\$	316	,911	%	of total p	roject	60%	
Non-Federal	\$	209	,747	% of t	total projec	$ct (\geq 40\%)$	40%	
Total	\$	526	,658		Total		100%	
Category			Federal			Non-Federal	Total	
Personnel		\$ 154,08		84	\$	54,929	\$ 209,013	
Fringe Benefits		\$ 55,625		\$	13,392	\$ 69,017		
Travel		\$ 21,201		01	\$	0	\$ 21,201	
Equipment		\$ 0		0	\$	0	\$ 0	
Supplies		\$ 6,146		46	\$	0	\$ 6,146	
Contractual		\$	16,4	32	\$	23,906	\$ 40,338	
Construction		\$		0	\$	0	\$ 0	
Other		\$	24,22	30	\$	0	\$ 24,230	
Total Direct Costs		\$	277,7	18	\$	92,227	\$ 369,945	
Indirect Costs \$ 39,19		93	\$	29,989	\$ 69,182			
Unrecovered IDC					\$	87,531	\$ 87,531	
Total Project Costs \$		316,9	11	\$	209,747	\$ 526,658		

Budget Justificat	ion (Federal)	
Category	Total Amount	Justification
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$ 154,084 \$ 55,625	TWRI Director: \$205,400 @ 0.45 months (\$7,702) TWRI Extension Assistant: \$41,000 @ 38.4263 months (\$131,290) TWRI Program Manager: \$76,778 @ 0.705 months (\$4,511) BAEN Research Associate: \$55,146 @ 1.83 months (\$8,438) BAEN Post Doc: \$32,480 @ 0.792 months (\$2,143) *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.) The fringe rate is calculated at 18% for faulty/staff and the insurance rate is
		\$647 per month. (Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.)
Travel	\$ 21,201	 TWRI Associate Director or Research Scientist Travel – 1 trip annually (\$500 annually for flight, \$46 per diem daily, \$77 per night lodging, \$90 for rental car (3 days, 2 nights)), \$8 Concur travel filing system fee annually – \$1,070 per trip; \$3,210 total TWRI Dist 12 Extension Assistant – 1 trip annually (\$500 annually for flight, \$56 per diem daily, \$93 per night lodging, \$90 for rental car (3 days, 2 nights)), \$8 Concur travel filing system fee – \$1,132 per trip; \$3,396 total State Extension vehicle mileage \$1,234 Annually (257 miles monthly, \$.40 per mile) – \$3,703 total BAEN Associate Professor and Extension Specialist – 2 trips for 2 people, 3 days, 2 nights estimated at \$550 for flights, \$46 per diem daily, \$77 per night lodging, \$120 for rental car, \$8 Concur travel filing system fee per trip and \$38 for miscellaneous fees = \$4,142 total BAEN Student Technician travel to annual meeting for 3 days, 2 nights: car rental (\$189), fuel (\$98), lodging (\$195), per diem (\$80) = \$562 BAEN Professor – 1 trip annually (\$500 annually for flight, \$46 per diem daily, \$77 per night lodging, \$90 for rental car (2 days, 1 nights)), \$8 Concur travel filing system fee annually – \$857 per trip; \$2,571 total BAEN Weslaco Associate Professor and Technician travel to trainings and local meetings with farmers: transportation/mileage, lodging and per diem as needed at the state rates, Concur travel filing system fee (\$3,617 total)
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A
Supplies	\$ 0,140	TWRI Office Supplies and Printing (\$900) TWRI District 12 Office Supplies and Printing (\$1,200) BAEN Office Supplies and Printing (\$1,080) TWRI fuel for rental car - (\$90) TWRI Dist 12 fuel for rental car (\$90) BAEN Associate Professor & Extension Specialist fuel for rental car (\$120) BAEN Professor fuel for rental car (\$153) BAEN Weslaco solar radiation sensor & data logger (\$2,513)
Contractual*	5 16,432	Internal subcontract to Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service / AGEC

Construction	\$ 0	N/A
Other	\$ 24,230	TWRI Soil Testing Campaign – \$10 per sample at 1,200 samples (\$12,000)
		TWRI Soil Sample Shipping (\$1,500)
		TWRI PSA Airtime (\$1,850)
		TWRI Postage (\$1,800)
		TWRI Dist 12 Facility Rental (\$2,280)
		TWRI Dist 12 printing (\$300)
		BAEN Printing (\$600)
		Training/workshop registrations (\$1,500)
		Communications services for news releases, development, editing and layout
		of other project materials (\$2,400)
Indirect	\$ 39,193	15% if Total Direct Costs

Budget Justificat	ion (Non-Federal)	
Category	Total Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$ 54,929	TWRI Director: \$205,400 @ 0.9 months (\$16,347)
		TWRI Associate Director: \$126,875 @ 0.9 months (\$9,599)
		BAEN Associate Professor and Extension Specialist: \$118,740 @ 0.4 months
		(33, 781)
		BAEN Professor: $5140,407 \otimes 0.4$ months ($54,470$)
		Cameron CEA: $580,070 \oplus 1.5 \text{ months} (59,057)$
		BAEN Associate Professor Dist 12: $$91,528 \oplus 1.1 \text{ months} ($8,741)$
		Willacy CEA: \$45,319 @ 0.6 months (\$2,334)
		*named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; IBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1
		*(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual
		percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will
		not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.)
Fringe Benefits	\$ 13,392	The fringe rate is calculated at 18% for faulty/staff and the insurance rate is
		\$647 per month.
		(Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary
		between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed
Travel	\$ 0	N/Λ
Fauinment	\$ 0	
Supplies	\$ 0	N/A
Contractual*	\$ 22.006	Internal subcontract to Tayon A & M Agril if Extension Service / AGEC
Construction	\$ 23,900	Internal subcontract to Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service / AGEC
Other	\$ 0	
Judine et	φ 0 ¢ 117.520	1V/A 49.50/ TWDI/D12/DAEN Aguil ife Dessarch Madified Total Direct Costs of
indirect	\$ 117,520	48.5% I W RI/D12/BAEN Agrillie Research Modified Total Direct Costs of Motob \$52,060 MTDC * 0.485 (\$25,600)
		Match = ϕ 52,909 MTDC * 0.465 (ϕ 25,090)
		28% Agrillie Extension (CEAs) Modified Total Direct Costs – \$15,555
		M11DC = 0.28 (94,299)
		33.5% TWRI/AgriLife Research Unrecovered IDC – Federal \$261.286
		MTDC * 0.335 (\$87,531)

Budget Justificat	ion (Fed	leral) – Agril	Life Extension / AGEC
Category	Total A	mount	Justification
Personnel	\$	6,009	Student Technician: \$12/hour @ 20 hours/week for 12 weeks (\$2,880) AGEC Research Assistant: \$60,000 @ 0.6 months (\$3,129) *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.)
Fringe Benefits	\$	1,256	The fringe rate is calculated at 18% for faulty/staff and the insurance rate is \$647 per month. The fringe rate for students is calculated at 10%. (Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.)
Travel	\$	3,933	AGEC Dist 12 Research Assistant - 1 trip annually (\$500 annually for flight, \$56 per diem daily, \$93 per night lodging, \$75 for rental car (4 days, 3 nights)), \$8 Concur travel filing system fee annually – \$1,311 per trip; \$3,933 total
Equipment	\$	0	N/A
Supplies	\$	91	AGEC Dist 12 Research Assistant fuel for rental car - \$31 in yr 1, \$30 in yr 2&3
Contractual*	\$	0	N/A
Construction	\$	0	N/A
Other	\$	3,000	Dist 12 publication & printing costs \$1,000 annually
Indirect	\$	2,143	15% if Total Direct Costs

Budget Justification (Non-Federal) – AgriLife Extension / AGEC

Category	Total Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$ 13,923	AGEC Professor Extension Specialist: \$127,952 @ 1.2 months (\$13,923) *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.)
Fringe Benefits	\$ 3,302	The fringe rate is calculated at 18% for faulty/staff and the insurance rate is \$647 per month. (Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.)
Travel	\$ 0	N/A
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A
Supplies	\$ 0	N/A
Contractual*	\$ 0	N/A
Construction	\$ 0	N/A
Other	\$ 0	N/A
Indirect	\$ 6,681	 28% AgriLife Extension Modified Total Direct Costs - \$17,225 MTDC * 0.28 (\$4,823) 13% AgriLife Extension Unrecovered IDC - Federal \$14,289 * .13 (\$1,858)

TSSWCB CWA §319(h) Project 15-07 07-02-18 Page 21 of 21