Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board State Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2023 Workplan 23-53

SUMMARY PAGE					
Title of Project	Middle Vegua Watershed	Protection Plan Development			
Project Goals	 Develop a local water 	rshed partnership to solicit input and enco	urage participation of		
5	local stakeholders;				
	Complete assessment	of pollutants by reviewing existing water qu	ality data, conducting		
	an inventory of point	nt and non-point sources, land use data	and known stressors		
	influencing water qua	ality; and			
	• Develop a watershe	d protection plan, establishing goals a	and objectives, load		
Draiget Tesles	allocations, strategies	s and timetables for implementation.	lahaldar		
Floject Tasks	Coordination: (4) Watersh	ed Protection Plan Development	ikenoluei		
Measures of Success	Number of public stal	ceholder meetings number of meeting atter	ndees		
	Compilation and anal	vsis of existing data completed			
	• Defined level of need	ed load reductions to achieve applicable wa	ater quality standards		
	Stakeholder approval and EPA acceptance of the developed WPP				
Project Type	Implementation (); Educa	ttion (); Planning (X); Assessment (); Gro	undwater ()		
Status of Waterbody on	Segment ID	Parameter of Impairment or Concern	<u>Category</u>		
2022 Texas Integrated	1212A_01	Bacteria in water (recreation use)	CN		
Keport	1212A_02	Bacteria in water (recreation use)	SC, NS		
		Depressed dissolved ovvgen	CS		
Project Location		Depressed dissolved oxygen	0.5		
(Statewide or Watershed	Middle Yegua Creek wate	ershed in Lee, Bastrop, Williamson, and Mi	lam counties		
and County)		, , , , ,			
Key Project Activities	Hire Staff (); Surface Wa	ter Quality Monitoring (); Technical Assis	tance ();		
	Education (); Implementa	ation (); BMP Effectiveness Monitoring ()	;		
	Demonstration (); Plannin	ng (X); Modeling (); Bacterial Source Trac	king (); Other ()		
2022 Texas NPS	• Component 1: LTG (Dbjectives 1, 2, 6, 7, 8			
Management Program	SIGIObjectives C a	ind D; SIG 3 Objectives B, D, and G			
Kererence	Component 2 Component 3				
	Component 4				
	Component 5				
Project Costs	Total 136,231				
Project Management	Texas A&M AgriLife	Research, Texas Water Resources Institute	8		
Project Period	March 1, 2023 – February 28, 2025				

Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant									
Project Lea	d	T. Allen Berthol	d						
Title		Interim Director							
Organizatio	on	Texas A&M Ag	riLife Rese	earch, Texa	as W	ater Resour	ces Institu	te	
E-mail Add	lress	taberthold@ag.ta	amu.edu						
Street Addr	ess	1001 Holleman Dr. E, MS 2118							
City	College Sta	tion County Brazos State Texas Zip Code 77840-2118				77840-2118			
Telephone	Telephone Number (979) -314-2467 Fax Number N/A								

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board (TSSWCB)	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ.
Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Texas	Provide project administration, coordination, quality assurance, water
water Resources Institute	quality modeling, stakeholder facilitation, and wPP development.

Part II – Project Information

Project Type									
Surface Water	Х	Groundwater							
Does the project in	npleme	nt recommendation	ns made	in: (a) a completed WPP; (b) an accept	ed				
WPP; (c) an adopt	ed TMI	DL; (d) an approve	d I-Plan	; (e) a Comprehensive Conservation and	d	Vec		No	v
Management Plan	Management Plan developed under CWA §320; (f) the Texas Coastal NPS Pollution Control						Λ		
<i>Program</i> ; or (g) th	Program; or (g) the Texas Groundwater Protection Strategy?								
If yes, identify the document. N/A									
If yes, identify the	agency	/group that	NT/A		Year	•	N	٨	
developed and/or a	approve	d the document.	1N/A		Deve	eloped	11/	A	

Watershed Information				
Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2022 IR	Size (Acres)
Middle Yegua Creek watershed	120701020101-	1212A_01,	5c, CS, CN	281,798
	120701020111	1212A_02		

Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: *2022 Texas Integrated Report*, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

IMPAIRMENTS

SegID: 1212A: Middle Yegua Creek: From the confluence with East Yegua and Yegua Creeks in Lee County to the County/Williamson County line

Parameter	Category	Year
Bacteria	50	2010

1212A_01: From confluence with East Yegua Creek upstream to confluence with West Yegua Creek in Lee County

1212A_02: From the confluence with West Yegua Creek upstream to the headwaters of water body in Williamson County

CONCERNS (2022 Texas Water Quality Inventory)

SegID: 1212A: Middle Yegua Creek: From the confluence with East Yegua and Yegua Creeks in Lee County to the County/Williamson County line

Assessment Unit	Concern	Level of Support
1212A 01	Bacteria in water (recreation use)	CN (Concern for Near Non-Attainment)
1212A_02	Dissolved Oxygen Grab	CS (Concern screening levels)
1212A_02	Habitat	CS (Concern screening levels)

SOURCES (2022 Texas Integrated)

Middle Yegua Creek: Segment ID 1212A, AU ID 1212A_01 E. coli Point sources: Unknown

Non-point sources: Unknown

Middle Yegua Creek: Segment ID 1212A, AU ID 1212A_02 E. coli, Dissolved Oxygen Grab, Habitat Point sources: Unknown Non-point sources: Unknown

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

The Middle Yegua Creek watershed lies within the greater Brazos River Yegua Creek watershed. A portion of Middle Yegua Creek (1212A_02) is identified as impaired for elevated concentrations of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) in the 2022 Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for the Clean Water Act Sections 305(b) and 303(d). There is also a concern for high bacteria levels in the lower assessment unit (1212A_01). Middle Yegua Creek does not discharge directly into the Brazos River (Segment 1242), but it does discharge into Lake Sommerville. Elevated levels of *E. coli* have been identified in the Middle Yegua Creek watershed since 2010. The watershed is located primarily in Lee County, stretching to small portions of Bastrop, Milam, and Williamson counties as well. Engagement with local watershed stakeholders to establish water quality goals and targets for the watershed through the development of a watershed protection plan (WPP) is critical for addressing the water quality issues. In addition, the plan will work to address the depressed dissolved oxygen and damaged habitat concerns present in the Middle Yegua Creek watershed.

Until recently, working towards a WPP or TMDL for Middle Yegua Creek was not possible due to a lack of historical water quality data. Prior to 2018, no water quality data had been collected in the watershed since 2010. TWRI subsequently received funding from the TSSWCB in 2018 to collect data at three sites monthly on Middle Yegua Creek. Two additional monitoring projects were funded that will extend through Fall of 2024. A characterization report that included the Middle Yegua Creek was also developed from funds provided by the TSSWCB in 2018 with additional funds to update it provided in 2020. Data in the characterization report shows a trend of decreasing bacteria levels in Middle Yegua Creek. Through the funding provided by the TSSWCB, there is now enough data to support a WPP in the watershed.

While the characterization report and monitoring data summary reports serve as a solid foundation for assessing the current state of water quality in Middle Yegua Creek, they do not fully address water quality challenges faced in the watershed. A WPP is needed to incorporate management measures, loading reductions, and technical and financial resources for stakeholders. The WPP will outline a clear path for improving water quality and enhancing the resilience of the Middle Yegua Creek ecosystem.

Project Narrative

General Project Description

This project will result in the production of a stakeholder driven WPP developed and guided by input from local stakeholders and governmental entities. Stakeholder interest to develop a WPP to aid in protecting and preserving the creeks and their water quality has gained interest in recent years. Previous work in the watershed has also included water quality monitoring, a watershed characterization report, and stakeholder education thus providing groundwork for WPP development.

Initially, efforts will be made to form and facilitate a well-rounded stakeholder group that appropriately represents the interests in the watershed. This stakeholder group will be informed of local water quality impairments, potential causes and sources of pollution and needed levels of pollutant reduction to restore instream water quality. Educational resources will be delivered in the watershed to raise awareness of water quality issues. TWRI will establish a stakeholder group and work with them to develop and deliver a WPP for the Middle Yegua Creek watershed that address EPA's nine key elements for successful watershed-based plans. Stakeholders will play an integral role in the WPP development process by providing local insight to issues affecting water quality, identifying critical sources of pollution in the watershed, identifying palatable management measures to include in the WPP and setting implementation and water quality goals and milestones. Ultimately, the WPP will include a comprehensive watershed management approach that focuses efforts on the most significant pollution sources contributing to water quality impairments and looks ahead at potential pollution sources from future growth and activity in the watershed.

The Middle Yegua Creek watershed characterization report and water quality monitoring projects completed for TSSWCB provide a solid technical foundation for WPP development. The characterization report extensively evaluates existing data sources, including land use, water quality, stream flow, and population growth, among others. The information from this report, along with other existing data relative to water quality and watershed conditions will form the basis of knowledge for WPP development. Information will be synthesized and summarized for presentation to the WPP stakeholder group to help inform decisions regarding WPP development.

Following WPP development, TWRI will work with stakeholders to identify potential implementation efforts and leverage previously implemented efforts that have already occurred in surrounding watersheds. Watershed characterization planning and implementation activities in Carters and Burton Creeks; Thompsons Creek; and Deer and Davidson Creeks watersheds will be leveraged to create more comprehensive future implementation projects for Middle Yegua Creek. Integration with implementation work in neighboring watersheds will ensure the successful and long-term continuation of addressing water quality concerns in the watershed and greater area.



Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules					
Task 1	Project Administration					
Costs	Total \$20,434	1				
Objective	To effectively administer	, coordinate, and monitor a	ll work performed under th	is project including		
	technical and financial su	pervision, and preparation	of status reports.			
Subtask 1.1	TWRI prepare electronic	quarterly progress reports	(QPRs) for submission to the	he TSSWCB. QPRs shall		
	document all activities pe	rformed within a quarter an	nd shall be submitted by th	e 1 st of January, April,		
	July and October. QPRs s	shall be distributed to all Pr	oject Partners.			
	Start Date	Month 01	Completion Date	Month 36		
Subtask 1.2	TWRI will perform accou	inting functions for project	funds and will submit app	ropriate Reimbursement		
	Forms to TSSWCB at lea	st quarterly.				
	Start Date	Month 01	Completion Date	Month 36		
Subtask 1.3	TWRI will host coordinat	tion meetings or conference	e calls, at least quarterly, w	ith Project Partners to		
	discuss project activities,	project schedule, commun	ication needs, deliverables,	and other requirements.		
	TWRI will develop lists of	of action items needed follo	owing each project coordination	ation meeting and		
	distribute to project perso	nnel.				
	Start Date	Month 01	Completion Date	Month 36		
Subtask 1.4	TWRI will develop a Final Report that summarizes activities completed and conclusions reached during					
	the project and discusses the extent to which project goals and measures of success have been achieved.					
	Start Date	Month 01	Completion Date	Month 36		
Deliverables	• QPRs in electronic f	ormat				
	Reimbursement Form	ns and necessary documen	tation in hard copy format			
	• Final Report in elect	ronic and hard copy formation	ts			

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules						
Task 2	Quality Assurance	Quality Assurance					
Costs	Total \$5,450						
Objective	To develop data quality o data of known and accept	bjectives (DQOs) and qual able quality are generated t	ity assurance/control (QA/ through this project.	QC) activities to ensure			
Subtask 2.1	TWRI will develop a QAPP for activities in Task #4 consistent with the most recent versions of <i>EPA</i> <i>Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/R-5)</i> and the <i>TSSWCB Environmental Data</i> <i>Quality Management Plan.</i> All monitoring procedures and methods prescribed in the QAPP shall be consistent with the guidelines detailed in the <i>TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures,</i> <i>Volume 1: Physical and Chemical Monitoring Methods for Water, Sediment, and Tissue (RG-415)</i> and <i>Volume 2: Methods for Collecting and Analyzing Biological Assemblage and Habitat Data (RG-416).</i> [Consistency with Title 30, Chapter 25 of the Texas Administrative Code, <i>Environmental Testing</i> <i>Laboratory Accreditation and Certification,</i> which describes Texas' approach to implementing the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) standards, shall be required where applicable 1						
	Start Date	Month 01	Completion Date	Month 03			
Subtask 2.2	TWRI will implement the approved QAPP. TWRI will submit revisions and necessary amendments to the OAPP as needed.						
	Start Date Month 04 Completion Date Month 36						
Deliverables	QAPP approved by TSSWCB and EPA in both electronic and hard copy formats						
	Approved revisions a	and amendments to QAPP,	as needed				
	• Data of known and a	cceptable quality as report	ed through Task 4				

Tasks, Objec	ctives and Schedules						
Task 3	Watershed Stakeholder Coordination						
Costs	Total \$35.420	Total \$35.420					
Objective	To develop, coordinate and facilitate stakeholder involvement in the watershed planning proc	cess that					
5	will enable local decision-making for the development of a WPP. This task will also provide	educational					
	programs and a plan for media outreach. This task will partially complete Element E of EPA'	's nine key					
	elements for a WPP.	-					
Subtask 3.1	Identify Potential Stakeholder Group — TWRI will develop a spreadsheet of key stakeholder	ts to engage					
	in the WPP development. The list will be made up of landowners, elected officials, agency						
	representatives, industry groups and others as appropriate.	1 0 4					
G 1 + 1 2 0	Start Date Month 01 Completion Date Month	<u>h 04</u>					
Subtask 3.2	Stakeholder Group Development, Coordination and Facilitation — I WRI will develop a grou	up of					
	stakenoiders that represent a diverse cross section of the watersned's interested parties. I water facilitate stakeholder group meetings during the planning process. Specifically, TWPI will be	1 W111					
	responsible for:	5					
	 Developing agendas, arranging meeting facilities and sending e-mail notifications for 	r meetings.					
	 Providing information requested by stakeholders prior to and following meetings: 	i meenigs,					
	 Updating stakeholders on progress of WPP development and/or implementation: and 						
	 Arranging presentations by guest speakers offering useful information to stakeholders 	·S.					
	Agendas for stakeholder meetings will be submitted to the TSSWCB Project Manager for app	proval at					
	least two weeks prior to distribution.						
	Start DateMonth 04Completion DateMonth	th 36					
Subtask 3.3	Education and Outreach — TWRI will host one public education and outreach event through	existing					
	statewide programs delivered in or near the project area as instructors are available. This may	/ include,					
	but should not be limited to, the following programs:						
	 Lone Star Healthy Streams workshop Taxas Wall Owner Network training and well screening event 						
	 Texas Watershed Stewards workshop 						
	Texas Riparian and Stream Ecosystem Education						
	Start Date Month 04 Completion Date Month	th 36					
Deliverables	Stakeholder List						
	Documentation of educational events and meetings						
	Agendas for stakeholder facilitation meetings						
	Copy of sign-in sheet from meetings						
	Press releases						
	Webpage updates						

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules					
Task 4	Watershed Protection Plan Development					
Costs	Total \$74,927					
Objective	To develop a stakeholder-driven WPP that will present prioritized strategies for the implementation of watershed BMPs to restore and protect the water quality of the waterbody.					
Subtask 4.1	WPP Development — TWRI, in collaboration with project partners, will develop a WPP that is consistent with and satisfies the expectations of the EPA's nine key elements fundamental to WPPs as described in the latest EPA document, <u>Nonpoint Source Program and Grants Guidelines for State and Territories</u> . The WPP will be founded on decisions made by stakeholders through the watershed planning process and incorporate findings from project data, analysis and reports. TWRI will facilitate public review and stakeholder approval of the WPP.					
	 The WPP will: A. Identify and quantify existing pollutant loadings that need to be controlled; B. Determine pollutant load reductions needed to meet water quality standards; C. Identify management practices to achieve water quality standards; D. Estimate technical and financial assistance needed to implement the plan; E. Describe information and education components needed to implement the plan; F. Develop an implementation schedule; G. Describe interim measurable milestones for management measure implementation; 					
	I. Describe the monitoring program to assess water quality conditions. Start Data Month 06 Completion Data Month 24					
Subtack 12	Start Date Month 06 Completion Date Month 24 Devices and Approval Dracess TWPL will device a timeling and stakeholder decomment review plan					
Sublask 4.2	at the beginning of the project. The review plan will include submittal of multiple interim partial drafts for review by stakeholders and TSSWCB. Stakeholders and TSSWCB will approve the WPP before it is submitted to EPA for review. TWRI will work with stakeholders and TSSWCB to address any EPA comments. TWRI will release a draft of the WPP to the public and address any comments that may be received. TSSWCB will submit to EPA a Final WPP with all EPA comments addressed.					
~ 1 . 1 . 1 .	Start Date Month 24 Completion Date Month 36					
Subtask 4.4	Executive Summary Creation — TWRI will develop an executive summary style document, based on the WPP, which will serve as a public outreach tool to garner support for the implementation of the WPP and achieve long-term sustainability.					
	Start DateMonth 33Completion DateMonth 36					
Subtask 4.5	Executive Summary Distribution —TWRI will publish and distribute the WPP and the executive					
	summary style document to stakeholders.					
	Start DateMonth 33Completion DateMonth 36					
Deliverables	 Multiple interim partial WPP drafts to stakeholders and TSSWCB Final WPP to stakeholders and TSSWCB Draft WPP to EPA Final WPP to EPA Executive summary style public outreach document based on WPP 					

Project Goals

The goals of this project are focused on the development of a WPP. The goal of the project includes development of a stakeholder group representative of the watershed, development of a plan that meets EPA's nine key elements, and efforts to secure implementation funding initiated.

To accomplish this goal, TWRI along with project partners will (1) identify and gather existing water quality and watershed data relative to potential pollutant loadings; identify data gaps and additional data needs to fully assess the current pollutant loading calculations and sources of bacteria; (2) increase awareness of water quality and watershed planning process; (3) establish current pollutant loads and determine needed pollutant loading reductions to meet applicable water quality standards; (4) coordinate watershed stakeholders; and (5) develop a WPP that achieves the EPA's Nine Key Elements for Effective WPPs.

Measures of Success

(1) Coordination and engagement of a local watershed stakeholder group will be measured by the number of stakeholder group meetings held, number of participants, number of stakeholder groups represented by participants, and through post-planning evaluations.

(2) Number of attendees at the education event will serve to measure the success of increasing awareness of water quality and watershed planning in the watershed.

(3) Compilation and analysis of existing data completed that clearly documents the current state of water quality, identifies and quantifies potential pollutant sources, estimates pollutant loading in the watershed, and defines the needed load reductions to achieve applicable water quality standards.

(4) Defined level of needed load reductions to achieve applicable water quality standards.

(5) EPA acceptance of the final WPP will serve as a measure of success for WPP development.

2022 Texas NPS Management Program Reference

Components, Goals, and Objectives

Component 1 – Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives, and strategies to restore and protect surface and groundwater.

• Long-Term Goal – Protect and restore water quality affected by nonpoint source pollution through assessment, implementation, and education.

• Objective 2 – Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to prevent nonpoint source pollution through assessment, implementation, and education.

• Objective 6 – Develop partnerships, relationships, memoranda of agreement, and other instruments to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage nonpoint source pollution.

• Objective 7 – Increase overall public awareness of nonpoint source issues and prevention activities.

• Objective 8 – Enhance public participation and outreach by providing forums for citizens and industry to contribute their ideas and concerns about the water quality management process.

• Short-Term Goal One – Data Collection and Assessment

• Objective D – Develop TMDLs, I-Plans, and WPPs to maintain and restore water quality in water bodies identifies as impacted by nonpoint source pollution.

• Short-Term Goal Three – Education

• Objective B – Administer programs to educate citizens about water quality and their potential role in causing nonpoint source pollution.

 \circ Objective D – Conduct outreach through the CRP, AgriLife Extension, SWCDs, and others to enable stakeholders and the public to participate in decision-making and provide a more complete understanding of water quality issues and how they relate to each citizen.

• Objective G – Implement public outreach and education to maintain and restore water quality in water bodies impacted by nonpoint source pollution.

Component 2 – Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities, private sector groups, and federal agencies.

Component 3 – Combination of statewide nonpoint source programs and on-the-ground projects to achieve water quality benefits; efforts are well-integrated with other relevant state and federal programs.

Component 4 – Description of how resources will be allocated between abating known water quality impairments from nonpoint source pollution and protecting threatened and high quality waters from significant threats caused by present and future nonpoint source activities.

Component 5 - Identify waters and their watersheds impaired by NPS... Progressively address these identified waters by conducting more detailed watershed assessments and developing watershed plans (e.g., WPPs or TMDLs and Implementation Plans), and then by implementing the plans.

Estimated Load Reductions Expected

Not applicable. This project proposes planning only and will not result in any direct loading reductions. Planned implementation measures will result in loading reductions when implemented, but that will occur following plan development. Expected loading reductions from planned management measures will be estimated during plan development.

EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements

FY 2022-2026 EPA Strategic Plan Reference

Strategic Plan Goal – 5.0 Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities

Strategic Plan Objective – 5.2 - Protect and Restore Waterbodies and Watersheds

This workplan supports Goal 5 (Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities) and Objective 5.2 (Protect and Restore Waterbodies and Watersheds) by funding the Texas State and Soil Water Conservation Board's NPS Program for state and local planning, education, assessments, watershed restoration and protection, best management practices, and related water quality activities.

Part III – Financial Information

Budget Summary	
Category	Total
Personnel	\$ 76,114
Fringe Benefits	\$ 29,408
Travel	\$ 600
Equipment	\$ 0
Supplies	\$ 500
Contractual	\$ 0
Construction	\$ 0
Other	\$ 11,840
Total Direct Costs	\$ 118,462
Indirect Costs ($\leq 15\%$)	\$ 17,769
Total Project Costs	\$ 136,231

Budget Justification (Federal)		
Category	Total Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$ 76,114	Interim Director: \$103,721 annually, 0.48 mo. (2% per year) – \$4,338 Research Specialist I: \$55,616 annually, 7.20 mo. (30% per year) – \$34,886 Research Specialist I: \$48,000 annually, 6 mo. (25% per year) – \$25,091 TBD QAO: \$75,000 annually, 0.72 mo. (3% per year) – \$4,545 TBD Program Manager: \$71,467 annually, 1.2 mo. (5% per year) – \$7,254 *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.) *cell phone allowances for project calls/emails during & after business hours & travel are occasionally factored into salaries & fringe, but again, will not exceed overall dollar amount.
Fringe Benefits	\$ 29,408	Fringe benefits are calculated at 18.9% * salary. For part-time and graduate research assistants, the fringe rate is 10.9%. Health insurance rates are at \$963/month for faculty/staff and \$560/month for students. *(Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary the estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.) *cell phone allowances for project calls/emails during & after business hours & travel are occasionally factored into salaries & fringe, but again, will not exceed overall dollar amount.
Iravel	\$ 600	Travel to stakeholder meetings and education program: 8 trips * 120 miles per trip $@$ the state rate per mile = \$600
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A
Supplies	\$ 500	Meeting supplies, including, but not limited to, paper, toner, pens, name tags, etc. $-$ \$500
Contractual*	\$ 0	N/A
Construction	\$ 0	N/A
Other	\$ 11,840	Communications Services for press releases, media, marketing, report editing and format/design, etc. @ \$100/hour - \$6,500 Webpage maintenance fee @ \$60/mo \$1,440 Printing (WPP printing) - \$2,500 Facility Rental - \$1,400
Indirect	\$ 17,769	Indirect costs are calculated at 15% of total federal direct costs per the RFP limitation. \$118,462 * 0.15 = \$17,769

TSSWCB NPS-State Project 23-53 01-19-2023 Page 14 of 14