# Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board State Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2022 Workplan 22-53

SUMMARY PAGE				
Title of Project	Brushy Creek Watershed	Monitoring and Historical Streamflow Esti	mation	
Project Goals	• Supplement existing	water quality and quantity data through wa	ter quality	
	monitoring			
	Estimate historical str	reamflow in ungauged portions of the wate	ershed	
Project Tasks	(1) Project Administration	; (2) Quality Assurance; (3) Supplemental	Water Quality and	
	Continuous Streamflow M	Ionitoring; (4) Data Summary Report and I	Historical Streamflow	
	Estimation			
Measures of Success	Collection and analys	sis of quality-assured surface water quality	data	
	Estimation of historic	al streamflow data in the ungauged portion	n of the watershed	
Project Type	Implementation (); Educa	tion (); Planning (X); Assessment (X); Gr	oundwater ()	
Status of Waterbody on	Segment ID	Parameter of Impairment or Concern	<u>Category</u>	
2020 Texas Integrated	1244_01	Bacteria	5c	
Report	1244_03	Bacteria	5c	
Project Location	Project Cities: Leander R	ound Rock Hutto and Taylor		
(Statewide or Watershed	Project Counties: Williamson and Milam			
and County)				
Key Project Activities	Hire Staff (); Surface Wa	ter Quality Monitoring (X); Technical Ass	istance ();	
	Education (); Implementa	tion (); BMP Effectiveness Monitoring ()	;	
	Demonstration (); Plannin	ng (X); Modeling (); Bacterial Source Trac	cking (); Other ()	
2017 Texas NPS	• Component 1: LTG 1, 2, 6, 7, 8			
Management Program	• Component 1: STG 1A, 1C, 3A, 3B, 3D, 3G			
Reference	• Component 2, 3, 7			
Project Costs	\$101,999			
Project Management	Texas A&M AgriLife	e Research, Texas Water Resources Institu	te	
Project Period	June 28, 2022 – June 30, 2	2024		

# Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant									
Project Lead	ł	Dr. Lucas Grego	ory						
Title		Associate Direct	tor						
Organizatio	n	Texas A&M Ag	riLife Rese	earch, Texa	as W	ater Resour	ces Institu	te	
E-mail Add	ress	lucas.gregory@a	lucas.gregory@ag.tamu.edu						
Street Addre	ess	1001 Holleman	1001 Holleman Dr. E., 2118 TAMU						
City	College St	tion County Brazos State Texas Zip Code 77840				77840			
Telephone N	Number	979-314-2361			Fax	x Number	N/A		

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and
Board (TSSWCB)	ensure coordination of activities with related projects and the Texas
	Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ).
Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Texas	Provide project administration, coordination, and quality assurance, water
Water Resources Institute (TWRI)	quality monitoring and modeling.

#### **Part II – Project Information Project Type** Surface Water Х Groundwater Does the project implement recommendations made in (a) a completed WPP, (b) an adopted TMDL, (c) an approved I-Plan, (d) a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan Yes No Х developed under CWA §320, (e) the Texas Coastal NPS Pollution Control Program, or (f) the Texas Groundwater Protection Strategy? If yes, identify the document. N/A If yes, identify the agency/group that Year N/A N/A developed and/or approved the document. Developed Watershed Information

Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2020 IR	Size (Acres)
Brushy Creek	120702050401 -	1244_01	5° CS CN NS	337 653
	120702050410	1244_03	JC, CB, CIN, INB	552,055

# Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: *2020 Texas Integrated Report*, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

#### Impairments

Segment ID 1244: Brushy Creek: From the confluence with the San Gabriel River in Milam County to the confluence of South Brushy Creek in Williamson County

Parameter	Category	Year
Bacteria	5c	2006

1244\_01: From the confluence of the San Gabriel River upstream to the confluence of Mustang Creek

1244\_03: From the confluence of Cottonwood Creek upstream to the confluence of Lake Creek

#### Concerns

SegID 1244: From the confluence with the San Gabriel River in Milam County to the confluence of South Brushy Creek in Williamson County

<u>Parameter</u> Bacteria	Level of Concern NS
1244_01: From the confluence of the San Gabriel River upstream to the confluence of M	Mustang Creek
1244_03: From the confluence of Cottonwood Creek upstream to the confluence of Lak	te Creek

Parameter	Level of Concern
Bacteria	CN

1244 02: From the confluence of Mustang Creek upstream to the confluence of Cottonwood Creek Parameter Level of Concern Nitrate CS 1244 01: From the confluence of the San Gabriel River upstream to the confluence of Mustang Creek 1244 02: From the confluence of Mustang Creek upstream to the confluence of Cottonwood Creek 1244 03: From the confluence of Cottonwood Creek upstream to the confluence of Lake Creek Parameter Level of Concern **Total Phosphorus** CS 1244 03: From the confluence of Cottonwood Creek upstream to the confluence of Lake Creek Sources Segment ID 1244: From the confluence with the San Gabriel River in Milam County to the confluence of South **Brushy Creek in Williamson County** Brushy Creek: Segment ID 1244, AU ID 1244 01 E.coli, Nitrate Non-point sources: Unknown Brushy Creek: Segment ID 1244, AU ID 1244 02 E.coli. Nitrate

*i, Nitrate* Point sources: Unknown Non-point sources: Unknown

#### Brushy Creek: Segment ID 1244, AU ID 1244\_03

E.coli, Nitrate, Total Phosphorus Point sources: Municipal point source discharges Non-point sources: Unknown

2017 Brazos River Basin Summary Report

Point Sources: Unknown Non-point sources: Unknown

### **Project Narrative**

#### Problem/Need Statement

Brushy Creek (Segment ID 1244) consists of four AUs, including 1244\_01, 1244\_02, 1244\_03, and 1244\_04. In the *2020 Texas Integrated Report*, AUs 1244\_01 and 1244\_03 are listed as impaired for elevated bacteria levels. In addition, AUs 1244\_01, 1244\_02, and 1244\_03 are listed for nitrate concerns. Moreover, there is a total phosphorus concern for AU 1244\_03.

Based on a *Recreational Use Attainability Analysis* conducted in the summer of 2010, primary contact recreation was determined to be the appropriate designation for Brushy Creek and the associated water quality standards have since been retained. To support future planning efforts in the Brushy Creek watershed, expanding water quality data collection efforts through supplemental monitoring activities is considered necessary. These efforts can help better characterize streamflow and water quality inhibitors and aid with the identification of potential causes and sources of pollution.

In addition to water quality data, instantaneous and continuous streamflow data are needed to help estimate historical flows for future watershed planning activities. All existing USGS gauges in the watershed are situated in the upstream urbanized portion of Brushy Creek, while the downstream rural portion of the watershed is ungauged. Thus, it is imperative to develop a validated method for estimating historical streamflow for the ungauged areas in the watershed. The continuous flow monitoring would be crucial for providing necessary input data.

## **Project Narrative**

#### General Project Description

According to the 2017 Brazos River Basin Summary Report, Brushy Creek flows through one of the fastest developing areas in Williamson County. Due to rapid population growth and drastic land use changes in the watershed, it has become increasingly relevant to assess the water quality issues in Brushy Creek.

In order to support a more comprehensive understanding of the waterbody's conditions, additional water quality data will be collected monthly for a total of 18 months at two monitoring sites in Brushy Creek. Existing water quality data will be retrieved and summarized along with the data acquired through the project to provide an evaluation of the water quality trends in Brushy Creek. Additionally, continuous flow data will be collected at one of the sites for the development of a streamflow estimation method that aims to generate historical streamflow at ungauged and/or poorly gauged areas. The chosen method is expected to support current watershed characterization efforts, including bacterial load analysis and load reduction analysis.



Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules					
Task 1	Project Administration					
Costs	\$19,380					
Objective	To effectively administer,	coordinate and monitor al	l work performed under thi	s project including		
	technical and financial su	pervision and preparation of	of status reports.			
Subtask 1.1	TWRI will prepare electro	onic quarterly progress rep	orts (QPRs) for submission	to the TSSWCB. QPRs		
	shall document all activiti	es performed within a quar	rter and shall be submitted	by the 15 <sup>th</sup> of March,		
	June, September, and Dec	ember. QPRs shall be distr	ributed to all Project Partne	ers.		
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24		
Subtask 1.2	TWRI will perform accou	nting functions for project	funds and will submit appr	ropriate Reimbursement		
	Forms to TSSWCB at least	st quarterly.				
	Start DateMonth 1Completion DateMonth 24					
Subtask 1.3	TWRI will host coordinat	ion meetings or conference	e calls, at least quarterly, w	ith Project Partners to		
	discuss project activities,	project schedule, communi	cation needs, deliverables,	and other requirements.		
	TWRI will develop lists o	f action items needed follo	wing each project coordina	ation meeting and		
	distribute them to project	personnel.				
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 24		
Subtask 1.4	TWRI will develop a Fina	l Report that summarizes a	activities completed and co	nclusions reached during		
	the project and discusses the extent to which project goals and measures of success have been achieved.					
	Start Date         Month 1         Completion Date         Month 24					
Deliverables	QPRs in electronic format					
	Reimbursement Form	ns and necessary document	tation in hard copy format			
	Final Report in electronic and hard copy formats					

Tasks, Objec	tives and Schedules					
Task 2	Ouality Assurance					
Costs	\$5,100					
Objective	To develop data quality o	bjectives (DQOs) and qual	ity assurance/control (QA	/QC) activities to ensure		
	data of known and accept	able quality are generated	through this project.			
Subtask 2.1	TWRI will develop a QA	PP for activities in Task 3	and Task 4 consistent with	the most recent versions		
	of EPA Requirements for	Quality Assurance Project	t Plans ( $QA/R-5$ ) and the T	SSWCB Environmental		
	Data Quality Managemen	nt Plan. All monitoring pro	cedures and methods prese	cribed in the QAPP shall		
	be consistent with the gui	delines detailed in the TCE	EQ Surface Water Quality	Monitoring Procedures,		
	Volume 1: Physical and O	Chemical Monitoring Meth	ods for Water, Sediment, a	and Tissue (RG-415) and		
	Volume 2: Methods for C	ollecting and Analyzing Bi	ological Assemblage and I	Habitat Data (RG-416).		
	[Consistency with Title 3	0, Chapter 25 of the Texas	Administrative Code, Env	vironmental Testing		
	Laboratory Accreditation	and Certification, which c	lescribes Texas' approach	to implementing the		
	National Environmental I	Laboratory Accreditation C	conference (NELAC) stand	lards, shall be required		
	where applicable.]					
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 3		
Subtask 2.2	TWRI will implement the approved QAPP. TWRI will submit revisions and necessary amendments to					
	the QAPP as needed.					
	Start Date	Month 3	Completion Date	Month 24		
Deliverables	QAPP approved by TSSWCB in both electronic and hard copy formats					
	• Approved revisions and amendments to the QAPP, as needed					
	• Data of known and a	acceptable quality as report	ed through Task 3 and Tas	sk 4		

Tasks, Objec	sks, Objectives and Schedules					
Task 3	Supplemental Water Qual	ity and Continuous Stream	flow Monitoring			
Costs	\$69,359					
Objective	To collect surface water q levels in Brushy Creek	uality and continuous strea	amflow data for better unde	erstanding of the bacteria		
Subtask 3.1	TWRI will conduct monthly ambient water quality monitoring at two sites on Brushy Creek. Sampling will include routine field parameters (Temperature, pH, DO, conductivity) and collection of water samples of the volume required by the QAPP in Task 2. Instantaneous flow data will be collected at both sites monthly and additionally continuous flow data will be collected at one of the sites. Water samples will be delivered to Aqua-Tech Laboratories Inc. within the appropriate holding time for analysis. Water samples returned to the lab will be analyzed for <i>E</i> coli bacteria					
	Start Date	Month 3	Completion Date	Month 21		
Subtask 3.2	Aqua-Tech Laboratories I master database of collect SWOMIS on a quarterly b	nc. will transfer completed ed data. Data will be subm pasis.	l lab analysis data to TWRI itted to TSSWCB by TWR	I who will maintain a II for submission to		
	Start Date	Month 3	Completion Date	Month 21		
Subtask 3.3	3 Site Selection – TWRI will conduct sampling site reconnaissance at prospective sample sites identified to determine the suitability of sample collection. Once site selection has been finalized, those needing TCEQ station numbers will be submitted for a Station Location request (SLOC request).					
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 2		
Deliverables	<ul> <li>Site Selection and SLOC requests (if needed)</li> <li>Documentation of sampling events in QPRs</li> <li>Quarterly data submissions (data summary and checklist, event and result files, and validator report) after successful upload into SWOMIS test environment</li> </ul>					

Tasks, Object	ives and Schedules				
	l .		· ·		
Task 4	Data Summary Report ar	nd Historical Streamflow Es	stimation		
Costs	\$8,160				
Objective	Summarize the water qua	ality and flow data collected	d in Task 3 and estimate str	reamflow in the	
	ungauged portion of the l	Brushy Creek watershed.			
Subtask 4.1	TWRI will aggregate existing water quality data in addition to the data collected in Task 3. The data				
	will be visualized and analyzed using graphs, tables, etc. TWRI will also use the continuous flow data				
	collected in Task 3 to develop a validated method for estimating historical streamflow data for				
	ungauged or poorly gauged areas in the watershed.				
	Start Date	Month 16	Completion Date	Month 24	
Deliverables	Data Summary Report				
	Documentation descr	ribing the development of t	he streamflow estimation n	nethod.	

# **Project Goals**

TWRI will acquire the surface water quality data currently available for the watershed. Existing data will be supplemented through monthly water quality monitoring at the sites identified from site recon and the QAPP. New data will be submitted to SWQMIS. Existing and supplemental data will be summarized in a final report to evaluate water quality trends.

To aid future identification of the potential causes and sources of pollution, TWRI will monitor continuous streamflow data in the Brushy Creek watershed and use those flow data to develop and validate a streamflow estimation method.

Measures of Success

This project will be considered successful upon collection of 18 months' worth of monthly ambient water quality data. Progress will be reported in quarterly progress reports and results will be provided in a final report. An additional measure of success would be the development of a validated streamflow estimation method for the ungauged portion of the watershed.

# 2017 Texas NPS Management Program Reference

Components, Goals, and Objectives

Component 1: Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives ... that protect surface and groundwater.

- LTG 1: Focus NPS abatement efforts, implementation strategies, and available resources in watersheds identified as impacted by nonpoint source pollution
- LTG 2: Support the implementation of state, regional and local programs to prevent NPS pollution through assessment, implementation, and education
- STG 1: Data Collection and Assessment: coordinate with appropriate federal, state, regional, and local entities.... Where additional information may be needed
- Objective A: Identify surface water bodies ... that need additional information to characterize non-attainment of designated uses and water quality standards
- Objective B: ensure that monitoring procedures meet quality assurance requirements .... or TSSWCB Quality Management Plans

Component 2: Working partnerships and linkages with appropriate state, ... regional, and local entities, private sector groups and Federal agencies.

Component 3: Balanced approach that emphasizes both statewide NPS programs and on-the-ground management of individual watersheds.

Component 7: Manage and implement the NPS program efficiently and effectively, including necessary financial management

# **Part III – Financial Information**

Budget Summary					
Category	State				
Personnel	\$	55,725			
Fringe Benefits	\$	20,555			
Travel	\$	2,131			
Equipment	\$	0			
Supplies	\$	60			
Contractual	\$	0			
Construction	\$	0			
Other	\$	10,224			
Total Direct Costs	\$	88,695			
Indirect Costs ( $\leq 15\%$ )	\$	13,304			
Unrecovered IDC					
Total Project Costs	\$	101,999			

Budget Justification		
Category	Total Amount	Justification
Personnel	\$ 55,725	Associate Director: \$101,261 annually, 0.42 mo. (1.72% per year) – \$3,642 Program Manager: \$71,467 annually, 2 mo. (8.33% per year) – \$12,448 TBD Quality Assurance Officer: \$75,000 annually, 0.84 mo. (3.5% per year) – \$5,295 Research Specialist: \$44,000 annually, 6.76 mo. (28.15%per year) – \$25,916 TBD Research Assistant: \$45,000 annually, 2.21 mo. (9.21% per year) – \$8,424 *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.) *cell phone allowances for project calls/emails during & after business hours & travel are occasionally factored into salaries & fringe, but again, will not exceed overall dollar amount.
Fringe Benefits	\$ 20,555	Fringe for faculty and staff is calculated at 18.8% salary plus \$825 per month. *Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.
Travel	\$ 2,131	Mileage for water quality monitoring estimated at 18 trips, up to 225 miles round trip per sampling event @ state rate per mile for state vehicles
Equipment	\$ 0	N/A
Supplies	\$ 60	General project supplies, including, but not limited to: pens, paper, binders, labels, etc.
Contractual*	\$ 0	N/A
Construction	\$ 0	N/A
Other	\$ 10,224	Sampling Equipment Rental for 18 months: \$ 7,560 Doppler Flow Meter = \$360 Lab Analysis: \$1,944 Water Quality Database Maintenance: \$360
Indirect	\$ 13,304	Per the RFP requirements, indirect costs are limited at 15% of total direct costs. \$88,695 Total Direct Costs * 15% = \$13,304