Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board State General Revenue Nonpoint Source Grant Program FY 2021 Workplan 21-54

| | SUM | MARY PAGE | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Title of Project | Kickapoo Creek in Hende | erson County Watershed Protection Plan | | | |
| Project Goals | To facilitate and produce a watershed Protection Plan (WPP) for Kickapoo Creek watershed that meets the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) nine elements for watershed-based plans. This includes Effectively administering the project financially and technically to meet deliverables, Ensuring that data used and generated by the project are of known and acceptable quality, Developing a WPP following EPA's nine elements for watershed-based plans following a stakeholder driven process, Providing monitoring data that meets data quality objectives to facilitate the development of the WPP, Obtaining approval by stakeholders of the WPP and producing the final WPP, and | | | | |
| Project Tasks | (1) Project Administration; (2) Quality Assurance; (3) WPP Development; (4) Monitoring to Facilitate WPP Development (5) Water Quality Characterization and Pollutant Source Identification | | | | |
| Measures of Success | Development, and pr EPA for watershed- Kickapoo Creek and Production for timely | oduction of a WPP that meets the nine key based plans that facilitates load reductio addresses other water quality concerns with and acceptable deliverables for each projection | elements set forth by ns in bacteria along in the watershed ct task | | |
| Project Type | Implementation (); Educa | ation (X); Planning (X); Assessment (X); G | roundwater () | | |
| Status of Waterbody on 2020 Texas Integrated Report | Segment ID 0605A | Parameter of Impairment or Concern Bacteria & depressed dissolved oxygen | <u>Category</u> 5c (bacteria), 5c (depressed DO) | | |
| Project Location (Statewide or Watershed and County) | Kickapoo Creek Watershe | ed in Henderson and Van Zandt Counties in | Texas | | |
| Key Project Activities | Hire Staff (); Surface Water Quality Monitoring (X); Technical Assistance (); Education (X); Implementation (); BMP Effectiveness Monitoring (); Demonstration (); Planning (X); Modeling (); Bacterial Source Tracking (); Other () | | | | |
| 2017 Texas NPS | Component 1: LTG 1 | 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 | | | |
| Management Program | • Component 1: STG 1 | B, 1C, 1E, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3B, 3D, 30 | 3 | | |
| Reference | • Component 2, 3, 7 | | | | |
| Project Costs | \$233,241 | | | | |
| Project Management | Texas Institute for A | pplied Environmental Research | | | |
| Project Period | March 1, $2021 - May 31$, | 2023 | | | |

Part I – Applicant Information

| Applicant | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|--------------------|--|----------|------|------------|----------|--------|--|
| Project Lea | d | Leah Taylor | | | | | | | |
| Title | | Sr. Project Direct | ctor | | | | | | |
| Organizatio | on | Texas Institute f | or Applied | Environm | enta | l Research | | | |
| E-mail Add | lress | ltaylor@tarleton | .edu | | | | | | |
| Street Addr | ess | 201 St. Felix Str | eet | | | | | | |
| City | Stephenvill | e | e County Erath State TX Zip Code 76401 | | | | | | |
| Telephone | Number | (254) 968-0513 | | | Fax | x Number | (254) 96 | 8-9336 | |

| Applicant | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Lead | | Dr. Narayanan k | Kannan | | | | | | |
| Title | | Research Scient | ist | | | | | | |
| Organization | l | Texas Institute f | or Applied | l Environi | nenta | al Research | | | |
| E-mail Addre | ess | kannan@tarleto | n.edu | | | | | | |
| Street Addres | SS | 201 St. Felix Str | eet | | | | | | |
| City S | Stephenvill | e | County | Erath | | State | TX | Zip Code | 76401 |
| Telephone N | umber | (254) 968-9691 | | | Fa | x Number | (254) 96 | 8-9336 | |

| Project Partners | |
|--|---|
| Names | Roles & Responsibilities |
| Texas State Soil and Water Conservation | Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and |
| Board (TSSWCB) | ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ. |
| Texas Institute for Applied Environmental | Provide project oversight, QA/QC, facilitate development of watershed |
| Research (TIAER) | stakeholder group and public outreach efforts, conduct data inventory and |
| | evaluation. Coordinate with Angelina & Neches River Authority |
| | regarding stakeholder involvement and data collection (historical and |
| | direct). |
| Angelina & Neches River Authority | Provide laboratory analyses for bacteria samples, guide and support |
| (ANRA) | gathering of historical water quality and sources information, and assist |
| | TIAER with communication and educational efforts with local |
| | stakeholders. |
| Watershed stakeholders including, but not | Work with TIAER and ANRA to gain and provide needed information for |
| limited to, landowners, soil and water | the characterization of this watershed. |
| conservation districts, city officials, county | |
| officials, not for profit organizations, and | |
| other federal, state, and local governments | |

Part II – Project Information

| Project Type | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Surface Water | Х | Groundwater | | | | | | | |
| Does the project in TMDL; (c) an app developed under C <i>Texas Groundwate</i> | Does the project implement recommendations made in: (a) a completed WPP; (b) an adopted TMDL; (c) an approved I-Plan; (d) a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan developed under CWA §320; (e) the <i>Texas Coastal NPS Pollution Control Program</i> ; or (f) the <i>Texas Groundwater Protection Strategy</i> ? | | | | | | | | |
| If yes, identify the | If yes, identify the document. N/A | | | | | | | | |
| If yes, identify the agency/group that developed and/or approved the document.N/AYear DevelopedN/A | | | | | | | | | |

| Watershed Information | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Watershed or Aquifer Name(s) | Hydrologic Unit | Segment ID | Category on | Size (Acres) |
| watershed of Aquiter Name(s) | Code (12 Digit) | Segment ID | 2020 IR | Size (Acres) |
| Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County | 120200010201- | | | |
| | 0201, 0202, 0203, | 0605A | 5c | 178,867 |
| | 0204, 0205, 0206 | | | |

Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: 2020 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

The 2020 Texas Integrated Report indicates the following bacteria and depressed dissolved oxygen impairments:

Segment 0605A: Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County

| | Impairment | 2020 Category | Year First Listed |
|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 0605A_01 | bacteria | 5c | 2000 |
| | depressed dissolved oxygen | 5c | 2006 |
| 0605A_02 | bacteria | 5c | 2000 |

Segment 0605A was first listed on the 2000 Texas Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality for elevated bacteria concentrations. The 2020 303(d) list continues to identify assessment units 0605A_01 and 0605A_02 for elevated bacteria concentrations. Both assessment units of Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County are classified as 5c for bacteria. Based on the Recreational Use Attainability Analysis (RUAA) conducted by TIAER in 2014, Kickapoo Creek is actively used for recreation within private property. Local residents and landowners interviewed have given accounts of swimming, wading, and fishing on the water body. In addition, the assessment unit 0605A_01 is impaired for depressed dissolved oxygen with a category 5c requiring additional data and evaluation.

No concerns along Segment 0605A are listed in the 2020 Draft Texas Integrated Report, although the 2014 Texas Integrated Report and the Clean Rivers Program 2018 Basin Highlights Report by the Angelina & Neches River Authority list ammonia and depressed dissolved oxygen (DO) as a concern. Data used for the 2020 Draft Texas Integrated Report for assessment of bacteria included 3 samples for AU 0605A_01 and 24 samples for AU 0605A_02. The geometric mean of these data for *Escherichia coli* bacteria was 307 colony forming units per 100 milliliters (cfu/100 mL) for AU 0605A_01 and 287 cfu/100 mL for AU 0605A_02. For DO, only three 24-hr monitoring events were included in the assessment and only one indicated average DO concentrations below the average criterion of 3

mg/L and the minimum criterion of 2 mg/L. The period of record for samples assessed in the 2020 Draft Texas Integrated Report spanned the 7-year period between December 2011 and November 2018.

Within the 2020 Draft Texas Integrated Report, point source discharges from municipal wastewater facilities were identified as sources contributing to the DO and bacteria impairments within Kickapoo Creek. As part of a Recreational Use Attainability Assessment for Segment 0605A, it was determined that two permitted municipal wastewater treatment facilities (WWTFs) discharge within the Kickapoo Creek watershed, the City of Brownsboro WWTF (TX0062707) with a permitted average daily flow of 0.156 million gallons per day (MGD) and the City of Murchison WWTF (TX0072087) with a permitted average daily flow of 0.08 MGD. A third small WWTF (TX0133086), run by the RPM Water Supply Corporation (permitted average daily discharge of 0.01 MGD), does not discharge directly into Kickapoo Creek but to Battle Creek, which merges with Kickapoo Creek in a braided fashion as part of Kickapoo Creek or a separate creek into Lake Palestine. Also, of the approximately 567 households in the watershed, about 89 percent were estimated to be outside municipal service areas for wastewater, so on-site sewage facilities from rural households may also be a contributing source.

Nonpoint sources via runoff across the landscape are also potential sources of bacteria and of organic loadings that may decrease instream DO. The Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County watershed area covers about 178,000 acres and is primarily rural with hay or pasture production used for cattle production as the dominant land cover followed by variety of forested vegetation. Only about five percent of the watershed is developed land representing the cities of Murchison (estimated population 600), Edom (estimated population 392), and Brownsboro (estimated population 1,279). The watershed is located just west of the City of Chandler (estimated population 2,805), but does not encompass Chandler. The rural nature of the Kickapoo Creek watershed indicates the need to consider agricultural and silivicultural nonpoint source contributions as well as WWTF discharges and on-site sewage facilities from rural households in evaluating the watershed's impairments.

The development of a WPP builds on a current characterization project for the watershed lead by TIAER. Many of the tasks associated with a WPP, such as the development of a stakeholder group have been addressed in the current project. The current project is also addressing, with stakeholder input, loadings and sources for the development of an educational component leading to the definition of needed control practices. The next step is to carry forward this education component into a WPP characterizing the problem, loads and sources, but also describing the management measures and technical and financial assistance needed to improve water quality.

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

The bacterial impairment of Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County is classified 5c indicating that additional information will be collected and/or evaluated for one or more parameters before a management strategy is selected (Figure 1). A Recreational Use Attainability Analysis (RUAA) was completed on Kickapoo Creek by TIAER in 2014. Findings of the RUAA (<u>https://www.tceq.texas.gov/waterquality/standards/ruaas/ruaasneches</u>) were submitted by TSSWCB to TCEQ for a potential recommendation of a change in standard from primary recreation to secondary recreation. Upon reviewing RUAA findings, TCEQ did not recommend a change in the recreational standard for Kickapoo Creek, so it remains classified for primary contact recreation.

The DO impairment for Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County is classified 5c indicating that additional data or information are needed before a management strategy is selected. The DO impairment is based on three 24-hr DO monitoring events, and at least 10 samples are required as adequate data for assessment. To provide additional data to aid with assessment of the indicated DO impairment, TIAER conducted 24-hr DO monitoring during the previous characterization project.



Figure 1 Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County (0605A) Watershed

The purpose of this project is to develop a stakeholder driven WPP for the Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County watershed that meets the nine essential elements outlined by EPA as fundamental to a successful watershed based plan. The project will build on a current 319 project, Characterizing the Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County Watershed, for which TIAER is the lead partnering with the Angelina-Neches River Authority. The current project satisfies Elements A and B of the EPA guidance by characterizing sources of pollution and determining the load reductions as needed to meet water quality standards. The current project has also established the stakeholder group and process. This proposal seeks to address Elements C through I and develop a WPP to achieve the needed pollutant load reductions.

The WPP will be assembled in accordance with EPA's 9-element criteria. Monitoring of the watershed will also continue to provide stakeholders with feedback on current conditions as a mechanism to engage stakeholders as well as track changes in water quality conditions spatially and temporally.

The water quality data currently available for Kickapoo Creek watershed that will be used in our analysis is shown in Table 1.

| Monitoring | Station | | | Period | of data ava | ilability | | |
|--------------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Station | ID | Flow* | TSS | Nitro- | Phos- | DO | BOD | Bacteri |
| Description | | | | gen | phorus | | | a |
| Kickapoo | 22167 | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| Creek at FM | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| 858 | | | | | | | | |
| Kickapoo | 22166 | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| Creek at Van | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| Zandt CR | | | | | | | | |
| 4206 | | | | | | | | |
| Kickapoo | 22165 | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| Creek at FM | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| 1861 | | | | | | | | |
| Kickapoo | 16797 | 2008- | 2008- | 2008- | 2008- | 2000- | 1999- | 2008- |
| Creek at FM | | 2018 | 2016 | 2016 | 2016 | 2016 | 2000 | 2017 |
| 733 near | | | | | | | | |
| Murchison | | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| Kickapoo | 22164 | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| Creek at | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| Henderson CR | | | | | | | | |
| 3806 | 1 (50 (| | 2007 | 2005 | 2000 | 2000 | 1000 | 2005 |
| Kickapoo | 16796 | | 2005- | 2005- | 2000- | 2000- | 1999- | 2005- |
| Creek at FM | | | 2008 | 2008 | 2008 | 2008 | 2000 | 2008 |
| 1803 | | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 |
| | | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| Vielence | 22162 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| Creek | 22105 | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| UPStroom of | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| Henderson CP | | | | | | | | |
| 3520 | | | | | | | | |
| Kickapoo | 21618 | | | 2015- | 2015- | | | |
| Creek at | 21010 | | | 2013- | 2013- | | | |
| Henderson | | | | 2017 | 2017 | | | |
| 3514 | | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |
| Kickapoo | 10517 | 1978- | 1997- | 1997- | 1999- | 1997- | 1998- | 2000- |
| Creek at FM | | 1986 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2000 | 2010 |
| 314 near | | | | | | | | |
| Brownsboro | | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- | 2019- |
| | | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 |

Table 1 Water Quality data availability for the Kickapoo Creek watershed (* Instantaneous discharge)

As a part of the proposed project, additional data will be collected and they will also be used in the watershed characterization process. Direct water quality monitoring will be conducted to supplement existing data and allow better targeting of sources by increasing the frequency and number of locations where specifically bacteria data are collected. Routine water quality data will be collected monthly at 9 stations within the watershed for up to 18 months. Sampling

will include routine field parameters (water temperature, pH, DO, conductivity, and instantaneous flow) and collection of water samples for analysis of *E. coli*, ammonia (NH₃-N), total suspended solids (TSS), volatile suspended solids (VSS), nitrate-nitrogen+nitrite-nitrogen (NO₂-N+NO₃-N), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), ortho-phosphorus (PO₄-P), total phosphorus (TP), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), and chlorophyll-a (CHLA). Water samples will be delivered to the Angelina & Neches River Authority Laboratory (ANRA) within the appropriate holding time for analysis of bacteria. All other laboratory analyses will be conducted by TIAER's laboratory. To provide additional data to aid with assessment of the indicated DO impairment, 24-hr DO monitoring will occur in conjunction with routine monthly at up to three locations. The direct data from this project will be evaluated along with historical data to indicate current conditions and trends.

This proposed project will use GIS analyses being conducted under the current characterization project to help in identifying sources of pollutants. The data being used for conducting the GIS analysis include, the most recent version of National Land Cover Database (NLCD 2016) (https://www.mrlc.gov/data), the soil map and the associated data from Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO) and National Elevation Dataset (NED)

(https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/usgs-national-elevation-dataset-ned). For details on livestock operations, cropping system, and irrigation we will use 2017 Agricultural Census data (<u>https://www.nass.usda.gov/AgCensus</u>). Types and population of wild animals and domestic pets in the watershed will be estimated and included in the analysis, because they are important sources of bacterial impairment of the Kickapoo Creek. Quantity and quality of municipal and industrial wastewater discharged to Kickapoo Creek will be obtained from the EPA Enforcement and Compliance Data website (<u>https://echo.epa.gov/</u>) or from TECQ permit information.

There was one USGS monitoring station recording daily streamflow for Kickapoo Creek near Brownsboro, TX. It was operational from January 1968 to July 1989 only, and no flow observations are available for this watershed for nearly three decades. For estimation of pollutant load reductions, it was decided to use Load Duration Curve (LDC) approach which require streamflow data at many locations along the Kickapoo Creek. Therefore, as a part of the ongoing watershed characterization project, streamflow data was estimated for Kickapoo Creek at nine locations (where the water quality is currently monitored) using a drainage area ratio approach. For this project, the estimated streamflow data for the Kickapoo Creek in the ongoing effort will be used with updated data for the most recent three years.

To aid in assessing conditions under which exceedances to bacteria water quality standards occur, LDCs will be developed. The LDC approach (USEPA 2007), although not based on pollutant fate and transport mechanisms, provides simple ways of understanding the water quality data and interpret information. It uses time series of flow data along with water quality data (observations monitored at infrequent intervals/water quality criterion) to obtain pollutant loads. The approach allows for characterizing the water quality during different seasons or flow regimes (high flow, low flow, moist conditions etc.) within a year. The duration curve approach also provides a way to link water quality impairments with watershed processes that are important to identify the pollutant sources and estimate the load reductions (USEPA 2007).

The GIS overlay of relevant data will help to shed more light on the pollutant sources identified by the LDC. For example, if the LDC points out that the source of nutrient pollution is from a non-point source, an over lay of the drainage area of a particular water quality monitoring station with land cover data can point out the dominant pollutant source as forested area (manure nutrient discharge from wild animals in the forest) or cultivated crop land (land applied fertilizer/manure for the crop).

To address the depressed DO problem, various graphical, statistical, and qualitative analyses will be performed to investigate relationships of DO to various water quality and streamflow variables. The concentration of nutrient forms can provide an indication of nutrient enrichment and conditions favorable for eutrophication, whereas, parameters such as ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) (which oxidizes to nitrate (NO₃-N), carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (CBOD), volatile suspended solids (VSS), and total organic carbon (TOC) can provide indications of the amount of oxygen-demanding substances in the water. Various descriptive and inferential statistics will be computed to provide insights into DO conditions in the Kickapoo Creek and any reasonable cause-and-effect relationships that may exist between these parameters and DO. Further, to provide a DO spatial continuum along the Kickapoo Creek, data from all the nine

stations will be analyzed together. DO concentrations will also be correlated with season and water temperature because of the inverse relationship of the saturation concentration of DO to water temperature.

The current Kickapoo Characterization project also has established the stakeholder group and process. This project proposes to seek to address Elements C through I and then develop a plan of action and WPP to achieve the needed pollutant load reductions. The plan of action is to be developed through an inventory and evaluation of existing water quality management practices and programs. The evaluation will identify program needs and opportunities. The plan of action will be designed to address these needs and opportunities. The WPP will be assembled in accordance with EPA's 9-element criteria. Monitoring of the watershed will also continue to provide stakeholders with feedback on current conditions as a mechanism to engage stakeholders as well as track changes in water quality conditions spatially and temporally. The water quality monitoring plan developed for the project will be designed based on stakeholder feedback regarding information and locations they are interested in that will aid in their development of the WPP.

| Tasks, Objec | tives and Schedules | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Task 1 | Project Administration | | | |
| Costs | \$19.091 | | | |
| Objective | To effectively administer | coordinate and monitor a | ll work performed under th | is project including |
| objective | technical and financial su | pervision and preparation | of status reports | is project meruanig |
| Subtask 1.1 | TIAER will prepare electr | onic quarterly progress rer | orts (OPRs) for submissio | n to the TSSWCB OPRs |
| Subtuble III | shall document all activiti | es performed within a quai | ter and shall be submitted | by the 1 st of December. |
| | March, June and Septemb | er. QPRs shall be distribut | ed to all Project Partners. | - , |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 1.2 | TIAER will perform acco | unting functions for projec | t funds and will submit app | propriate Reimbursement |
| | Forms to TSSWCB at lea | st quarterly. | | _ |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 1.3 | TIAER will host coordina | tion meetings or conference | e calls, at least quarterly, v | vith Project Partners to |
| | discuss project activities, | project schedule, communi | cation needs, deliverables, | and other requirements. |
| | TIAER will develop lists | of action items needed foll | owing each project coordir | nation meeting and |
| | distribute to project perso | nnel. | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 1.4 | TIAER will develop a Fin | al Report that summarizes | activities completed and c | onclusions reached |
| | during the project and dis- | cusses the extent to which | project goals and measures | of success have been |
| | achieved. | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 20 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Deliverables | • QPRs in electronic for | ormat | | |
| | Reimbursement Form | ns and necessary document | tation in hard copy format | |
| | • Final Report in electr | conic and hard copy format | S | |

| Tasks, Objec | tives and Schedules | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Task 2 | Quality Assurance | Quality Assurance | | | | | | |
| Costs | \$25,074 | | | | | | | |
| Objective | To develop data quality o | bjectives (DQOs) and qual | ity assurance/control (QA/ | QC) activities to ensure | | | | |
| | data of known and accept | able quality are generated | through this project. | | | | | |
| Subtask 2.1 | TIAER will develop a QA | APP for activities in Task 4 | consistent with the most re- | ecent versions of EPA | | | | |
| | Requirements for Quality | Assurance Project Plans (| QA/R-5) and the TSSWCB | Environmental Data | | | | |
| | Quality Management Pla | n. All monitoring procedur | es and methods prescribed | in the QAPP shall be | | | | |
| | consistent with the guidel | ines detailed in the TCEQ | Surface Water Quality Mor | nitoring Procedures, | | | | |
| | Volume 1: Physical and C | Chemical Monitoring Metho | ods for Water, Sediment, ar | nd Tissue (RG-415) and | | | | |
| | Volume 2: Methods for C | ollecting and Analyzing Bi | ological Assemblage and H | labitat Data (RG-416). | | | | |
| | [Consistency with Title 3] | 0, Chapter 25 of the Texas | Administrative Code, Envi | ronmental Testing | | | | |
| | Laboratory Accreditation | and Certification, which c | lescribes Texas' approach t | o implementing the | | | | |
| | National Environmental I | Laboratory Accreditation C | onference (NELAC) standa | ards, shall be required | | | | |
| | where applicable.] | | | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 3 | | | | |
| Subtask 2.2 | TIAER will implement th | e approved QAPP. TIAER | will submit revisions and | necessary amendments to | | | | |
| | the QAPP as needed. | | | | | | | |
| | Start Date Month3 Completion Date Month 27 | | | | | | | |
| Deliverables | QAPP approved by TSSWCB and EPA in both electronic and hard copy formats | | | | | | | |
| | Approved revisions a | and amendments to QAPP, | as needed | | | | | |
| | • Data of known and a | cceptable quality as report | ed through Task | | | | | |

| Tasks, Objec | tives and Schedules | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Task 3 | Kickapoo Creek WPP De | velopment | | |
| Costs | \$80,478 | | | |
| Objective | To coordinate and facilita | te public involvement in a | local watershed stakeholde | er group that will provide |
| | input into the decision ma | king process for developin | g a nine-element WPP | |
| Subtask 3.1 | TIAER will work with wa | atershed stakeholders to inv | ventory and evaluate existing | ng watershed |
| | management programs an | d identify program needs a | nd opportunities. | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 3.2 | TIAER will work with wa | atershed stakeholders to ass | semble the WPP into a doc | ument that will satisfy |
| | EPA's nine key elements | (A-I) for a watershed plan. | | |
| | Start Date | Month 6 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Subtask 3.3 | TIAER and project partne | ers will present and deliver | a final draft WPP to stake | nolders for comment and |
| | review. Comments receive | ed will be addressed and th | e WPP will be sent to TSS | WCB and EPA for |
| | review. The project team | will work with stakeholder | s to address any EPA com | ments. |
| | Start Date | Month 6 | Completion Date | Month 27 |
| Deliverables | • Draft WPP | | | |
| | Final WPP | | | |

| Tasks, Object | tives and Schedules | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Task 4 | Monitoring to Facilitate D | evelopment of WPP | | | | | |
| Costs | \$74,462 | | | | | | |
| Objective | Continue to provide monit | toring data for use by the st | takeholder group in develo | pment of the WPP. | | | |
| Subtask 4.1 | TIAER will conduct routine, monthly, ambient water quality monitoring at 9 sites in the Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County watershed for up to 18 months. Routine field parameters will include water temperature, pH, DO, conductivity, and instantaneous flow. Water samples will be collected for analysis of <i>E. coli</i> , NH ₃ -N, TSS, VSS, NO ₂ -N+NO ₃ -N, TKN, PO ₄ -P, TP, BOD, and ChlA. Angelina - Neches River Authority Laboratory (ANRA) will conduct <i>E. coli</i> analyses. All other laboratory analyses will be conducted by TIAER's laboratory. To provide additional data to aid with assessment of the indicated DO impairment, TIAER will conduct 24-hr DO monitoring in conjunction with routine monthly at up to | | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 1 | Completion Date | Month 27 | | | |
| Subtask 4.2 | ANRA Laboratory will transfer completed lab analysis data to TIAER who will maintain a master database of collected data. Data will be submitted to TSSWCB by TIAER for submission to SWQMIS on a quarterly basis | | | | | | |
| | Start Date Month 3 Completion Date Month 27 | | | | | | |
| Deliverables | Documentation of sar SWQMIS data submit | Documentation of sampling events in QPRs SWOMIS data submissions (Data sets, Data Review Checklists) | | | | | |
| | Data Summary inclus | ded in Final Report | | | | | |

| Tasks, Objectives and Schedules | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Task 5 | Water Quality Characterization and Pollutant Source Identification | | | | | |
| Costs | \$34,136 | | | | | |
| Objective | Continue the comprehensive inventory of data from the previous watershed characterization, continue to | | | | | |
| | characterize the water quality of Kickapoo Creek based off data collected in Task 4, use data inventory | | | | | |
| | and new data to continue identification of the causes and sources of water quality impairments in the | | | | | |
| | watershed and estimate load reductions needed to meet the water quality standards for bacteria and | | | | | |
| | dissolved oxygen (DO). | | | | | |
| Subtask 5.1 | TIAER will develop a continue to build on the comprehensive data inventory for the watershed | | | | | |
| | (originally created during the previous watershed characterization) by assembling all the existing | | | | | |
| | information. This data inventory will include historical weather, water quality, streamflow, and | | | | | |
| | estimated information on wildlife and livestock densities, population characteristics, discharges from | | | | | |
| | wastewater treatment facilities (WWTFs), on-site sewage facilities (OSSFs), and other relevant | | | | | |
| | information, such as soils, topography, and land cover. | | | | | |
| - | Start Date | Month 3 | Completion Date | Month 22 | | |
| Subtask 5.2 | TIAER will conduct water quality data analysis using GIS information collected with the data inventory | | | | | |
| | of the previous watershed characterization to spatially display potential sources of water quality | | | | | |
| | impairments and concerns in conjunction with water quality information. Water quality data for bacteria | | | | | |
| | and streamflow data (estimated) will be used to develop LDCs for bacteria to aid in assessing flow | | | | | |
| | conditions under which exceedances to bacteria and water quality standards occur. DO and saturation | | | | | |
| | DO will be correlated with various water quality variables to identify the probable causes of depressed | | | | | |
| | DO. A graphical analysis will also be carried out to support the interpretation of results. | | | | | |
| - | Start Date | Month 7 | Completion Date | Month 24 | | |
| Subtask 5.3 | Using loading data from c | causes and sources and LD | C analysis collected in sub | task 4.1, estimated | | |
| | pollutant loading reductions needed to meet water quality standards and other goals will be calculated. | | | | | |
| | Start Date | Month 10 | Completion Date | Month 27 | | |

| Deliverables | Watershed Data Inventory |
|--------------|--|
| | Maps Showing Spatial Distribution of Potential Pollutant Sources using GIS |
| | • Documentation of (a) LDC analysis and Pollutant Load Reduction Estimates for E. coli (b) |
| | Relationship of DO with various water quality parameters and identification of the probable causes |
| | of depressed DO in the Kickapoo Creek |

Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)

To develop a WPP for the Kickapoo Creek in Henderson County based on stakeholder involvement leading to a plan that will comprehensively address all water quality impairments and concerns in a sustainable manner.

Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

Overall, this project will be successful when stakeholders have contributed to a consensus decision of goals, objectives, and indicators for addressing the water quality issues in the watershed. Through stakeholder involvement and public meetings, outlined in the tasks above, goals, objectives, and indicators will be tracked across meetings for consistency and overlap and presented to full stakeholder groups for a consensus decision. Further, this project will be successful when the watershed has been characterized through data collection efforts and loadings and loading reductions have been calculated. Progress will be reported in quarterly progress reports and results will be provided in a final report.

2017 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

- Component 1: Explicit short and long-term goals, objectives ... that protect surface and groundwater.
- LTG 1: Focus NPS abatement efforts, implementation strategies, and available resources in watershed identified as impacted by nonpoint source pollution
- LTG 2: Support the implementation of state, regional and local programs to prevent NPS pollution through assessment, implementation and education
- LTG 3: Support the implementation of state, regional, and local programs to prevent nonpoint source pollution, such as the implementation of strategies defined in TMDL I-Plans, WPPs, and other water quality planning efforts in the state.
- LTG 6: Develop partnerships, relationships, memoranda of agreement, and other instruments to facilitate collective, cooperative approaches to manage nonpoint source pollution.
- LTG 7: Increase overall public awareness of NPS issues and prevention activities
- LTG 8: Enhance public participation and outreach by providing forums for citizens and industry to contribute their ideas and concerns about the water quality management process
- STG 1: Data Collection and Assessment: coordinate with appropriate federal, state, regional, and local entities...where additional information may be needed
 - Objective B: Ensure that monitoring procedures meet quality assurance requirementsor TSSWCB Quality Management Plans
 - Objective C: Conduct special studies to determine sources of nonpoint source pollution and gain information to target water quality planning and BMP implementation
 - Objective E: Conduct monitoring to determine effectiveness of TMDL I-Plans, WPPs, and BMP implementation
- STG 2: Implementation: Implement TMDL I-Plans and/or WPPs and other state, regional, and local plans/programs to reduce nonpoint source pollution by targeting implementation activities to the areas identified as impacted or potentially degraded nonpoint source pollution with respect to use criteria.
 - Objective A: Work with regional and local entities to determine priority areas...address nonpoint source pollution in those areas.
 - Objective B: Develop and implement BMPs to address constituents of concern or water bodies not meeting... as impacted by nonpoint source pollution.
 - Objective C: Develop and implement BMPs to address nonpoint source... or vulnerable to nonpoint source pollution
 - Objective D: Implement TMDL I-Plans, WPPs, and other state, regional, and local plans... as impacted by nonpoint source pollution.
- STG 3: Education: Conduct education and technology transfer activities to help increase awareness of NPS pollution and prevent activities contributing to the degradation of water bodies, including aquifers, by NPS pollution
 - Objective A: Enhance existing outreach programs at the state, regional and local levels to maximize the effectiveness of NPS education
 - Objective B: Administer programs to educate citizens about water quality... nonpoint source pollution.
 - Objective D: Conduct outreach through the ...Angelina Neches River Authorities, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, and others to facilitate broader participation and partnerships. Enable stakeholders and the public to participate in decision-making and provide a more complete understanding of water quality issues and how they relate to each citizen
 - Objective G: Implement public outreach and education to maintain and restore water quality in water bodies impacted by NPS pollution

Component 2: Working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state ... regional and local entities, private sector groups and Federal agencies.

Component 3: Combination of statewide nonpoint source programs with on-the-ground projects to achieve water quality benefits; ... state and federal programs

Component 7: Manage and implement the NPS program efficiently and effectively, including necessary financial management

EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements

FY 2018-2022 EPA Strategic Plan Reference

Strategic Plan Goal – Goal 1 Core Mission: Deliver a cleaner, safer, and healthier environment for all Americans and future generations by carrying out the Agency's core mission.

Strategic Plan Objective – Objective 1.2 Provide for Clean and Safe Water to ensure waters are clean through improved water infrastructure and, in partnership with states and tribes, sustainably manage programs to support drinking water, aquatic ecosystems, and recreational, economic, and subsistence activities.

Part III – Financial Information

| Category | Costs |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Personnel | \$ 107,940 |
| Fringe Benefits | \$ 39,730 |
| Travel | \$ 4,816 |
| Equipment | \$ 0 |
| Supplies | \$ 575 |
| Contractual | \$ 0 |
| Construction | \$ 0 |
| Other | \$ 56,295 |
| | |
| Total Direct Costs | \$ 209,356 |
| Indirect Costs ($\leq 15\%$) | \$ 23,885 |
| | |
| Total Project Costs | \$ 233,241 |

| Budget Justification | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| Category | Total Amount | Justification | | |
| Personnel | \$ 107,940 | TIAER Project Manager @ \$58,284/year @ 26.97% FTE (yr 1); 33.25% FTE (yr 2): \$37,355 | | |
| | | TIAER Research Associate @ \$66,897/year @ 11.55% FTE (yr1); 14.57% FTE (yr 2): \$19,220 | | |
| | | TIAER Sr. Research Assistant @ \$44,106/year @ 9.64% FTE (yr1); 6.00% FTE (yr 2): \$7,460 | | |
| | | TIAER Lab QAO @ \$91,350/year @ 9.43% FTE (yr1); 9.71% FTE (yr 2): \$18,286 | | |
| | | TIAER Sr. Research Associate @ \$57,960/year @ 9.58% FTE (yr1); 10.59% FTE (yr 2): \$12,586 | | |
| | | TIAER Sr. Research Associate @ \$51,744/year @ 0.92% FTE (yr1); 2.00% FTE (yr 2): \$1,625 | | |
| | | TIAER hourly employee @ \$29.41/hour for 3.73 hours/week for 52 weeks (yr 1); \$29.41/hour for 3.73 hours/week for 52 weeks (yr 2): \$11,408 | | |
| | | *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions and graduate students are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 | | |
| | | *(Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in the aggregate, will not avoid total effort estimates for the entire project.) | | |
| | | *cell phone allowances for project calls/emails during & after business hours & travel are occasionally factored into salaries & fringe, but again, will not exceed overall dollar | | |
| | | amount. | | |
| Fringe Benefits | \$ 39,730 | Salaried Employee Fringe Benefits Calculated at: 0.185 * salary +\$771/mo. Hourly Employee Fringe Benefits Calculated at: 0.11 * salary | | |
| | | *(Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in the aggregate, will not exceed | | |
| | | the overall estimated total.) | | |
| | | *cell phone allowances for project calls/emails during & after business hours & travel are occasionally factored into salaries & fringe, but again, will not exceed overall dollar amount. | | |
| Travel | \$ 4,816 | Travel, including fuel, by field crew (3 people) to and from sampling sites for | | |
| | | sample retrieval and general maintenance (estimated 2 trips during year 1 and | | |
| | | 12 trips during year 2) to sampling sites, hotel at State Rate, and meal per | | |
| | | diem \$51/day | | |
| | | By TIAER staff, including fuel, to and from stakeholder and steering | | |
| | | committee meetings (4 overnight trips/year for 3 people during year 1, 6 | | |
| | | overnight trips/year for 3 people during year 2, 2 overnight trips/year during | | |
| | | year 3), Stephenville to the Kickapoo Creek watershed, hotel at State Rate, and meal per diem \$51/day) | | |
| Equipment | \$ 0 | N/A | | |
| Supplies | \$ 575 | Field supplies- waders, sample maintenance, repairs, paint/batteries/ice/water, mailing envelopes and labels, etc. | | |
| Contractual* | \$ 0 | N/A | | |
| Construction | \$ 0 | N/A | | |

| Other | \$ 56,295 | Vehicle Maintenance for monthly monitoring, and public meetings: \$547 |
|----------|--------------|--|
| | | Project website domain through GoDaddy.com: \$144 for 2 years |
| | | Postage fees for 12 public meetings over 3 years: \$223 |
| | | TIAER Laboratory Costs: 9 stations monthly for 18 months. Cost per sample may total up to \$335 per sample. It is estimated that total TIAER lab analysis will total up to \$50,121. |
| | | ANRA laboratory costs (9 stations monthly for 18 months for bacteria): Laboratory Analysis for bacteria: 162 samples @ \$30 each = \$4,860 |
| | | Facility Room Rental: \$400 |
| Indirect | \$ 23,885 | Total indirect calculated at 15% of modified total direct = total direct |
| | | (\$209,356 minus total TIAER Laboratory (\$50,121) = MTDC of \$159,235 |